

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

Research at Birmingham

A roadmap for island biology: 50 fundamental questions after 50 years of The Theory of Island Biogeography

Matthews, Thomas

DOI:

[10.1111/jbi.12986](https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12986)

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Matthews, T 2017, 'A roadmap for island biology: 50 fundamental questions after 50 years of The Theory of Island Biogeography', *Journal of Biogeography*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 963–983. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12986>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Eligibility for repository: Checked on 5/5/2017

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Strapline: Special Paper

**A roadmap for island biology: 50 fundamental questions after 50 years
of *The Theory of Island Biogeography***

Jairo Patiño^{1,2,*}, Robert J. Whittaker^{3,4}, Paulo A.V. Borges², José María Fernández-Palacios⁵, Claudine Ah-Peng⁶, Miguel Araújo^{7,8}, Sergio P. Ávila⁹, Pedro Cardoso^{2,10}, Josselin Cornuault¹¹, Erik J. de Boer¹², Lea de Nascimento⁵, Artur Gil², Aarón González-Castro¹, Daniel S. Gruner¹³, Ruben Heleno¹⁴, Joaquín Hortal^{8,15}, Juan Carlos Illera¹⁶, Christopher Kaiser-Bunbury¹⁷, Thomas J. Matthews^{2,18}, Anna Papadopoulou¹⁹, Nathalie Pettorelli²⁰, Jonathan P. Price²¹, Ana M. C. Santos^{2,8,22}, Manuel J. Steinbauer²³, Kostas A. Triantis²⁴, Luis Valente²⁵, Pablo Vargas²⁶, Patrick Weigelt²⁷ and Brent C. Emerson^{1,28}

¹*Island Ecology and Evolution Research Group, Instituto de Productos Naturales y Agrobiología, (IPNA-CSIC), La Laguna, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain,* ²*Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes (cE3c)/Azorean Biodiversity Group & University of the Azores, Angra do Heroísmo and Ponta Delgada, Azores, Portugal,* ³*School of Geography and the Environment, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford, UK,* ⁴*Center for Macroecology, Evolution and Climate, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark,* ⁵*Island Ecology and Biogeography Research Group. Instituto Universitario de Enfermedades Tropicales y Salud Pública de Canarias (IUETSPC) Universidad de La Laguna, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain,* ⁶*UMR PVBMT, University of La Réunion, Pôle de Protection des Plantes, Saint-Pierre, La Réunion, France,* ⁷*CIBIO/InBio-UE: Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, Universidade de Évora, Évora, Portugal,* ⁸*Department of Biogeography and Global Change, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales (MNCN-CSIC), Madrid, Spain,* ⁹*CIBIO-Açores/INBIO: Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos and Departamento de Biologia, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade dos Açores, Ponta Delgada, Açores, Portugal,* ¹⁰*Finnish Museum of Natural History, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland,* ¹¹*Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands,* ¹²*Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands,* ¹³*Department of Entomology, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA,* ¹⁴*Centre for Functional Ecology, Department of Life Sciences, University of Coimbra, 3000 Coimbra, Portugal,* ¹⁵*Departamento de Ecologia, Instituto de Ciências*

Biologicas, Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia, GO, Brazil, ¹⁶Research Unit of Biodiversity (UO-CSIC-PA), Oviedo University, Campus of Mieres, Research Building, Asturias, Spain, ¹⁷Ecological Networks, Department of Biology, TU Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany, ¹⁸GEES (School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences), The University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK, ¹⁹Department of Integrative Ecology, Estación Biológica de Doñana (CSIC), Seville, Spain, ²⁰Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London, London, UK, ²¹University of Hawai'i at Hilo, Hilo, HI, United States, ²²Departamento de Ciencias de la Vida, Universidad de Alcalá. Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain, ²³Section Ecoinformatics and Biodiversity, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark, ²⁴Department of Ecology and Taxonomy, Faculty of Biology, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece, ²⁵Museum für Naturkunde, Leibniz-Institut für Evolutions- und Biodiversitätsforschung, Berlin, Germany, ²⁶Royal Botanical garden of Madrid (CSIC-RJB), Madrid, Spain, ²⁷Biodiversity, Macroecology and Biogeography, Georg-August-University Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, ²⁸School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, UK

Running head: 50 key research questions in island biology

Correspondence: Jairo Patiño, Island Ecology and Evolution Research Group, Instituto de Productos Naturales y Agrobiología (IPNA-CSIC), c/ Astrofísico Francisco Sánchez 3, La Laguna, Tenerife, Canary Islands, 38206, Spain
 Emails: jpatino.llorente@gmail.com; jpatino@ipna.csic.es

ABSTRACT

Aims The 50th anniversary of the publication of the seminal book, *The Theory of Island Biogeography*, by Robert H. MacArthur and Edward O. Wilson is a timely moment to review and identify key research foci that could advance island biology. Here we take a collaborative horizon-scanning approach to identify 50 fundamental questions for the continued development of the field.

Location Worldwide.

Methods We adapted a well-established methodology of horizon scanning to identify priority research questions in island biology, and initiated it during the Island Biology 2016 conference held in the Azores. A multidisciplinary working group prepared an initial pool of 187 questions. A series of online surveys was then used to refine a list of the 50 top priority questions. The final shortlist was restricted to questions with a broad conceptual scope, and which should be answerable through achievable research approaches.

Results Questions were structured around four broad and partially overlapping island topics, including: (Macro)Ecology and Biogeography, (Macro)Evolution, Community Ecology, and Conservation and Management. These topics were then subdivided according to the following subject areas: global diversity patterns (5 questions in total); island ontogeny and past climate change (4); island rules and syndromes (3); island

biogeography theory (4); immigration–speciation–extinction dynamics (5); speciation and diversification (4); dispersal and colonization (3); community assembly (6); biotic interactions (2); global change (5); conservation and management policies (5); and invasive alien species (4).

Main conclusions Collectively, this cross-disciplinary set of topics covering the 50 fundamental questions has the potential to stimulate and guide future research in island biology. By covering fields ranging from biogeography, community ecology, and evolution to global change, this horizon scan has the potential to foster the formation of interdisciplinary research networks, enhancing joint efforts to better understand past, present and future of island biotas.

Keywords

Biodiversity conservation, community ecology, extinction, global change, island biology, island biogeography theory, island evolution, island macroecology, research priorities

INTRODUCTION

In 1967 Robert H. MacArthur and Edward O. Wilson published *The Theory of Island Biogeography* (MacArthur & Wilson, 1967), where they expanded upon an earlier paper in which they first described their equilibrium theory (MacArthur & Wilson, 1963). In these works they developed a general mathematical theory to explain the regulation of species richness on islands. Their theory was based on the argument that island biotas eventually reach a dynamic equilibrium between processes that add species, particularly by immigration (plus, for more remote islands, speciation; see MacArthur & Wilson, 1963), counterbalanced by processes that cause local extinction of species. Specifically, the model at the core of their theory predicts that the rates of these two key processes are determined by geographical context, represented in the first instance by island area and isolation. Whereas their general theory was motivated by a desire to formulate ecological and evolutionary theories based upon population level processes and to introduce a new rigour into the discipline of island biogeography, their theorizing was inspired by documented patterns of species abundance, species richness and turnover within and across islands (Lomolino & Brown, 2009; Wilson, 2010).

The seminal work of MacArthur and Wilson has subsequently stimulated a substantial research effort on island biogeography and biodiversity (Whittaker & Fernández-Palacios, 2007; Losos *et al.*, 2010), and promoted the exploration of islands as model systems for a more general understanding of biological communities (e.g. Warren *et al.*, 2015). The similarities between island archipelagos and fragmented continental landscapes have also triggered interest in applying MacArthur and Wilson's theory in

conservation science; for instance, by deriving principles of protected area design and estimation of species extinctions in fragmented landscapes (e.g. Diamond, 1975). In addition to the colonization–extinction dynamics forming the core of MacArthur and Wilson's theory (MacArthur & Wilson, 1967), the authors included speciation as a term in the model within the 1963 description of equilibrium theory, and provided a 35-page chapter on ‘*evolutionary changes following colonization*’ within their 1967 monograph. Evolutionary processes, however, were set aside from the early chapters of the monograph, excluded from statements of the *Core IBT* (Island Biogeography Theory) and the famous intersecting curves graphic, and were not explicitly integrated in the neutral mathematical formulation of the model (leading to the erroneous but oft repeated claim that they ignored speciation). The subsequent development of molecular genetic tools for evolutionary analysis have prompted renewed interest in the integration of speciation into the *Core IBT* (e.g. Emerson & Gillespie, 2008; Rosindell & Phillimore, 2011; Valente *et al.*, 2015), and improved estimation of historical immigration dynamics based on phylogenetic relationships among species (Ronquist & Sanmartín, 2011). The *Core IBT* is in essence a biologically neutral model – or close to it –, occupying the first 67 pages of the 1967 monograph, with much of the next 116 pages devoted to theory concerning population- and species-level traits of island biotas and their dynamics (MacArthur & Wilson, 1967). Progress on these latter themes has arguably been slower than on issues surrounding the *Core IBT*, but recent advances in genomic techniques, trait biology and analytical capacity should move forward this agenda (e.g. Gillespie *et al.*, 2012; Heleno & Vargas, 2015; Santos *et al.*, 2016a). Additionally, while the *Core IBT* referenced long-term biological dynamics, it did not take into account the dynamic nature of islands

154 themselves, and here too, notable advances are being made (e.g. Whittaker *et al.*, 2008;
155 Borregaard *et al.*, 2016; Fernández-Palacios *et al.*, 2016).

156 Fifty years on from its publication, MacArthur and Wilson's (1967) book remains
157 one of the most influential texts on ecology and evolution, with continued debate over its
158 strengths and limitations. It has been, and will continue to be, a springboard for research
159 on the origin and maintenance of biological communities, with particular reference to
160 marine island systems, but also extending to other island-like systems. Half a century
161 since this seminal contribution, it is time to review both the new and outstanding
162 challenges facing the broad discipline of island biology, as well as particularly promising
163 research avenues (see e.g. Warren *et al.*, 2015; Santos *et al.*, 2016b). In particular, this
164 paper focuses on identifying the 50 most fundamental questions for present and future
165 island biology research. Inspired by previous studies seeking to identify priority research
166 questions within a scientific field based on a cornucopia of proven methods (e.g. Pretty *et*
167 *al.*, 2010; Sutherland *et al.*, 2011; Sutherland *et al.*, 2013; Seddon *et al.*, 2014; Kennicutt
168 *et al.*, 2015), we present the outcome of a survey-based approach initiated at *Island*
169 *Biology 2016: the 2nd International Conference on Island Evolution, Ecology and*
170 *Conservation*, which was held at the University of Azores in Terceira Island, July 18–22,
171 2016.

174 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Prior to the Island Biology 2016 conference, a total of 21 conference attendees (see author list) were identified by the five survey coordinators (JP, RJW, PAVB, JMFP and BCE), to constitute the ‘50 fundamental questions in island biology’ working group in which each member encompasses expertise in at least one of the following subject areas: (i) (Macro-)Ecology and Biogeography, (ii) Speciation and Extinction, (iii) Community Ecology, (iv) Biotic Interactions, (v) Conservation Biology and Global Change, (vi) Dispersal and Colonization, and (vii) Palaeobiogeography and Palaeoecology. Two or three members of the working group were assigned to each subject area, and they had the possibility to recruit one or two more members to their panel. An eighth panel (viii) was also formed to identify any key questions that fell outside the scope of the seven original subject areas. Research interests within the ‘50 fundamental questions in Island Biology’ working group represent a broad array of geographic areas, model organisms, and networks of international collaborators. The members of each subject group were asked to identify at least 15 questions that they viewed as of fundamental interest within their subject panel. Members were encouraged to consult broadly with colleagues, with the mentioned option to invite non-conference attendees to join their panels, to provide additional expertise. A total of 197 questions were compiled in this process, which were screened for duplication or ambiguity by the five survey coordinators, resulting in a curated list of 187 questions (hereafter termed List 1; Fig. 1). To facilitate the practical implementation of the first voting, questions from List 1 were redistributed into four main island biology topics (e.g. see Carlquist, 1974; Whittaker & Fernández-Palacios, 2007; and Losos *et al.*, 2010): (i) Island (Macro)Ecology and Biogeography (52 questions) included questions from the subject areas of (Macro-)Ecology and Biogeography, and

199 Palaeobiogeography and Palaeoecology; (ii) Island (Macro)Evolution (63 questions) was
200 used to group questions on Speciation and Extinction, and Dispersal and Colonization;
201 (iii) Island Community Ecology (27 questions) comprised questions from Community
202 Ecology, and Biotic Interactions; and (iv) Island Conservation and Management (45
203 questions) included questions from Conservation Biology and Global Change. The 407
204 conference attendees of the Island Biology 2016 conference (see
205 <http://www.islandbiology2016.uac.pt>) were invited to participate in four online surveys
206 (*Survey 1*), one for each of the four amended groups of topics above. Across the four
207 surveys, the conference attendees could score each question as ‘fundamental’, ‘not
208 fundamental’, or leave the answer blank. The order of the questions was randomized for
209 each new login, so that a specific order of presentation of questions could not bias the
210 outcome of the surveys; this strategy was retained for the two following online surveys
211 (see below). For each of the four topics, survey participants were also given the
212 opportunity to submit one additional question, if they felt such a question was missing
213 from List 1.

214 At the end of *Survey 1*, the original survey questions were ranked according to the
215 total number of participants who scored a given question as ‘fundamental’, and the top 80
216 questions selected (List 2). Then, the 44 new questions proposed by survey participants
217 (List 3) were merged with an equivalent number of questions from List 2, specifically the
218 44 lowest ranked key questions, to create a second survey (*Survey 2*) with 88 questions
219 (List 4). The questions from List 4 were voted as ‘fundamental’ or ‘not fundamental’ by
220 the 29 members of the ‘50 fundamental questions in island biology’ working group, and
221 ranked. The top 44 questions of List 4 were then refined to eliminate redundant questions

or ambiguities through discussions among the coordinators of the survey, and then merged with the top 36 questions kept from List 2. The list of 80 questions (List 5) was then subject to a third online survey (*Survey 3*) involving a broader participation by extending the invitation to participate to approximately 400 attendees of the Island Biology 2014 conference held in Honolulu, Hawaii, some of whom did not attend the Island Biology 2016 conference, and also to the members of the following island biology related interest groups: American Society of Naturalists; British Ecological Society; Conservation Specialist Interest Group; Société Française d'Ecologie; Ecological Society of America; Hellenic Ecological Society; International Biogeography Society; New Zealand Ecological Society; the Spanish and the Portuguese Ecological Societies, and other specific working groups and e-mailing lists related to island biology that the authors could identify.

Study shortcomings

Across the different phases of this participative process, a determined effort was made to select experts, questions and voters, representative of the full breadth of island biology research. In addition, the inclusion of 48 questions suggested online by anonymous attendees of the Island Biology 2016 conference further contributed to increase the diversity of backgrounds and expertise reflected in the questions identified (see Fig. 1). However, despite these efforts, it would be naive to regard our list as definitive and unbiased, as it inevitably reflects the interests of the particular group of voters who were contacted and participated in our survey (see e.g. Sutherland *et al.*, 2013; Seddon *et al.*, 2014). For instance, from the 27 initial questions on Palaeoecology &

Palaeobiogeography included in the online *Survey 1*, only one question [see Q28 in Results section] remained in the final list of 50 questions. This may reflect the fact that only about 10% of the final survey voters identified Palaeoecology & Palaeobiogeography as one of their fields of expertise (see Results). Such biases in the composition of the biologists sampled have undoubtedly influenced the balance of the questions presented here. Despite such shortcomings, by performing several voting and discussion rounds with a large group of experts from a wide range of organizations, fields and geographical regions (see Results, below), we hope to have minimized the consequences of individual preferences and other subjective choices.

RESULTS

The number of participants voting in the three rounds of online voting varied. In the first online survey (*Survey 1*), the number of participants was distributed into the four topics as follows: Island (Macro)Ecology and Biogeography (104 participants); Island (Macro)Evolution (84); Island Community Ecology (82); Island Conservation and Management (91). This round of voting was completely blind and no information about the scientific profile of the participants was requested. In the second online survey (*Survey 2*), only the 29 authors of this study voted, with each person voting on all the questions irrespective of topic area.

In the final round of online voting (*Survey 3*), 303 people participated, with the 80 submitted questions receiving on average 286.6 (SD \pm 2.3) votes. A large proportion of

the 80 questions (77 out of the 80) were considered as ‘fundamental’ by the majority of the voters, and the final ranking was thus based on the proportion of ‘fundamental’ votes with respect to the total numbers of votes (‘fundamental’ + ‘not fundamental’) received for each question. The percentage of fundamental votes varied between 79% (top) and 39% (the 80th question), while the last question making it into the top 50 attracted 62% of positive votes.

The scientific profile of the third survey participants was highly diverse, being distributed across thematic areas in island biology as identified by the participants themselves as follows: Conservation, Management & Global Change (290 participants); Community Ecology (141); Biogeography (137); Biotic Interactions (99); (Macro-)Ecology (76); Dispersal (69); (Macro-)Evolution (58); Island Theory (45); Palaeoecology & Palaeobiogeography (30); and Plant or/ & Animal Physiology (28). An additional 45 participants identified with 11 less common disciplines. In total, 68.7% (207) participants work on islands and/or island-habitat types, while 17.8% (54) voters focus their research on other ecological systems. Only 10.2% (31) participants work both on island and non-island systems. From the voters that provided information regarding the geographic circumscription of their study areas, the following insular systems were well represented: Oceania, including Australia, Melanesia, Micronesia, New Zealand, Polynesia, Galápagos and Juan Fernández (57 participants); North Atlantic including Macaronesia (39); Mediterranean (19); Caribbean (13); Indian Ocean, including the Mascarenes, Socotra and Madagascar (13); and Indonesia (6).

Below we present the top 50 priority questions in island biology identified in the present study. For convenience in presenting the results, questions were compiled into the

four main island topics used earlier (see List 1 above): (i) Island (Macro)Ecology and Biogeography (including 16 questions); (ii) Island (Macro)Evolution (11); (iii) Island Community Ecology (8); and (iv) Island Conservation and Management (15). Information about each question's final rank (#) and percentage of votes received (%) is also provided.

Island (Macro)Ecology and Biogeography

Global diversity patterns

Q1. What are the relative roles of spatial, historical and ecological processes in driving taxonomic, phylogenetic and functional diversity patterns of insular systems? [# 7; % = 75.2]

Q2. How do fundamental biogeographic processes interact through time and space to establish the island species–area relationship? [# 22; % = 70.5]

Q3. How do taxonomic, phylogenetic and functional diversity compare between islands and ecologically similar continental areas? [# 27; % = 68.7]

Q4. How important are islands as refuges for now extinct mainland lineages and/or ecosystems? [# 45; % = 64.5]

Q5. How important are oceanic islands as generators of biodiversity and for the assembly of continental biota through reverse-colonization and/or colonization *de novo*? [# 49; % = 62.2]

The questions in this section share an emphasis on fundamental large-scale topics. The first question [Q1], in particular, invokes a research agenda covering all types of island

314 systems and multiple facets of biodiversity. This question is a worthy reminder of the
315 importance of integrating the dynamics of historical/geographical, long-term
316 environmental, and contemporary ecological time-scales in analyses of insular biota.
317 Island biologists need to be aware of and integrate knowledge from other natural
318 sciences, in particular from earth systems science, in understanding long-term dynamics
319 of island platforms as theatres for the evolutionary play (e.g. Price & Clague, 2002;
320 Fernández-Palacios *et al.*, 2011; Ali & Aitchison, 2014; Skipwith *et al.*, 2016). How key
321 biogeographical processes of dispersal/migration, speciation and extinction interact to
322 shape the form of the island species–area relationship [Q2] remains an important topic
323 and particular how these processes and patterns vary among different island contexts,
324 including oceanic, continental-shelf, continental fragment, and habitat islands (e.g.
325 Triantis *et al.*, 2012; Patiño *et al.*, 2014b; Matthews *et al.*, 2016). Comparisons between
326 taxonomic (typically the species as unit of analysis), phylogenetic and functional
327 diversity responses across islands [see also Q29] and between islands and continents [Q3]
328 represent a very recent development, on which little research has so far been conducted
329 (but see e.g. Whittaker *et al.*, 2014 and; Weigelt *et al.*, 2015, for examples of intra and
330 inter-archipelago analyses respectively). Our perception of the roles of islands [Qs 4, 5]
331 as macroevolutionary sinks (*sensu* Goldberg *et al.*, 2005), rather than as sources, has been
332 challenged in recent years, and possibly needs to be reassessed (Bellemain & Ricklefs,
333 2008). It was long understood that, in general, whereas islands received colonist species
334 from continents, the reverse process rarely, if ever, happened (e.g. Carlquist, 1974). This
335 unidirectional view of island colonization was consistent with the notion that islands, as
336 species poor and disharmonic systems (i.e. lacking the full array of forms found on the

mainland) were typified by species that had become poor competitors (in the broad sense). Moreover, islands were viewed as refugial holdouts of persistence for a number of ancient forms (e.g. Yoder & Nowak, 2006; Vargas, 2007; Wood *et al.*, 2015; Shaw & Gillespie, 2016), swept away by more recently evolved competitors from former mainland bastions. More recently, it has become apparent that so-called back-colonizations (or boomerangs sensu Caujapé-Castells, 2011) from islands to mainlands, or movements across ocean basins via islands and colonization *de novo* of continents, have occurred and include some colonist lineages that have had great importance in shaping current biodiversity patterns. Examples include lineages of birds (e.g. Filardi & Moyle, 2005; Jönsson *et al.*, 2011; Jönsson & Holt, 2015), insects (Grady & DeSalle, 2008) and plants (Carine *et al.*, 2004; Patiño *et al.*, 2015; Condamine *et al.*, 2016). For the very reason that addressing these questions requires an integrative approach with the intersection of disparate fields and methodological approaches, these broad questions [Qs 1–5] remain of central importance within island biology, with evident potential to continue to generate significant changes in our understanding of this field.

Island ontogeny and past climate change

Q6. How do rates of colonization, speciation and extinction change during island ontogeny? [# 9; % = 73.4]

Q7. How do diversification rates of island lineages change with island age? [# 38; % = 66]

Q8. How important were past geological events and climate change in promoting island colonization and altering dispersal pathways? [# 20; % = 70.5]

Q9. How has climate change influenced speciation and extinction within islands? [#
12; % = 72.7]

Questions 6–9 embrace specific challenges to our understanding of the long-term dynamics of insular systems. Notwithstanding the diverse geological origins and developmental histories of islands, a substantial number of them are remote, volcanic in origin, and follow a broadly similar ontogeny. Typically, these islands begin with a building phase, followed by a gradual shift into erosion and subsidence, eventually leading to them becoming merely sub-ocean surface features. This developmental pathway, or certain variants of it, and their biological consequences are integrated within the general dynamic model of oceanic island biogeography (Whittaker *et al.*, 2008; Borregaard *et al.*, 2016), which offers predictions concerning rates of colonization, speciation, diversification and extinction and how they vary over the developmental history of islands. Testing such predictions for speciation and extinction is challenging (see [Qs 17–20]), and further complicated when island age is also integrated [Qs 6, 7]. It requires a focus on comparing island-specific rates among islands of different maturity across archipelagos, as opposed to within-lineage rates without implicit reference to island specific rates (*sensu* Bennett & O'Grady, 2013), suggesting a need for innovative approaches involving the comparative analysis of large numbers of time-calibrated phylogenies.

Improved geodynamic data concerning past climate change, wind connectivity, ocean currents, and sea-level oscillations over the Pleistocene permit the development of more sophisticated models for inferring shifts in the configuration of islands and their environment (area, isolation and climate) through time, and their availability has

generated increasing interest in the implications of these long-term changes for island biodiversity patterns and processes (e.g. Carine, 2005; Dalsgaard *et al.*, 2013; Ali & Aitchison, 2014; Rijdsdijk *et al.* 2014; Ávila *et al.*, 2016; Borregaard *et al.*, 2016; Fernández-Palacios, 2016; Fernández-Palacios *et al.*, 2016; Steinbauer *et al.*, 2016a,b; Weigelt *et al.*, 2016). Integrating colonization dynamics into these models [Q8] may benefit from recent comparative phylogenetic approaches (Ronquist & Sanmartín, 2011), while understanding how climate change has influenced rates and patterns of speciation and extinction on islands [Q9] appears to be a particularly challenging area of study.

Island rules and syndromes

Q10. Is trait evolution fundamentally different on islands than on continents? [# 42;

% = 64.9]

Q11. How robust are the various island rules and syndromes relating to body size, loss of dispersal, coloration, breeding system, woodiness, and clutch size, among others? [# 47; % = 63.3]

Q12. To what extent are island populations genetically impoverished, compared to comparable mainland populations? [# 50; % = 62]

Since the earliest days of scientific study of island biology, it has been understood that islands possess peculiar forms and otherwise atypical subsets of ecological and taxonomic groups (an aspect of island disharmony). Some part of this arises from a colonization filter through dispersal limitation. Following successful colonization and establishment on an island, recently arrived colonists are potentially exposed to a range of

novel biotic and abiotic conditions that have, in many instances, triggered notable morphological, behavioural and ecological shifts (e.g. Kavanagh & Burns, 2014; Traveset *et al.*, 2015). Indeed, many of these features were remarked upon and formalized into syndromes or rules in classic works, particularly in *Island Life* by Alfred Russel Wallace (1880) and *Island Biology* by Sherwin Carlquist (Carlquist, 1974). Not surprisingly, chapter seven of MacArthur & Wilson's book (1967), entitled '*Evolutionary Changes Following Colonization*', dealt with some of the most intriguing island syndromes, such as the loss of dispersal capacity. Specifically, questions 10 and 11 reflect the long-lasting interest in phenomena such as flightlessness, gigantism, super-generalism, or secondary woodiness (reviewed in e.g. Jost, 2007; Whittaker & Fernández-Palacios, 2007; Losos & Parent, 2010; Lens *et al.*, 2013), where empirical evidence has often provided conflicting signals (e.g. for the loss of dispersability, see Cody & Overton, 1996; Patiño *et al.*, 2013; Kavanagh & Burns, 2014; Vargas *et al.*, 2014).

A few decades ago, a number of seminal studies (e.g. Frankham, 1997) introduced the idea that island populations are typically characterized by low levels of genetic diversity [Q12]. Recent analyses of spatial distribution of genetic variation across island and continental regions have, however, provided evidence that the expectation of low genetic diversity cannot always be generalized to island assemblages (e.g. Fernández-Mazuecos & Vargas, 2011; Hutsemékers *et al.*, 2011; García-Verdugo *et al.*, 2015; but see Illera *et al.*, 2016). It seems likely that future research on island syndromes will need to continue to pay critical attention to: (i) the statistical robustness of the patterns concerned (e.g. Meiri *et al.*, 2008); (ii) causal explanations for the patterns, including the extent to which they reflect *in situ* evolutionary change *versus* non-random

colonization/persistence (e.g. Valido *et al.*, 2004; Lomolino *et al.*, 2013); and (iii) the mechanistic explanations for such distinctive evolutionary pathways (e.g. Burns *et al.*, 2012; Novosolov *et al.*, 2013; Itescu *et al.*, 2014). As these island-specific syndromes develop from the same eco-evolutionary processes that operate on mainlands, research on islands and continental counterparts (e.g. closely related taxa) [Q12] will be key to enhancing our fundamental understanding of the underlying mechanisms.

Island biogeography theory

Q13. How do the dynamics of island communities scale up to generate the biogeographical patterns predicted by island biogeographical theories? [# 37; % = 66.3]

Q14. How can we reconcile island biogeography theories with other ecological and evolutionary theories to contribute to a general biodiversity theory? [# 15; % = 72.1]

Q15. How applicable are island biogeographical theories derived from real islands to other forms of insular system, such as sky islands and seamounts? [# 48; % = 62.7]

Q16. How can we best incorporate population genetic and/or phylogenetic data to advance models of island biogeography? [# 28; % = 68.3]

Island biogeography has always been a driver for the development of general theories in ecology and evolution. Hubbell's (2001) '*neutral theory of biodiversity and biogeography*' is one prominent example of how reflection on island theory (specifically MacArthur and Wilson's theory) in a broader context, has continued to generate novel research directions (e.g. Warren *et al.*, 2015; Santos *et al.*, 2016b). Neutral theory

provides one approach to scaling up from local scale species abundance distribution patterns and dynamics to emergent biogeographical patterns [Q13], as exemplified by recent work by Rosindell and colleagues (e.g. Rosindell & Phillimore, 2011; Rosindell & Harmon, 2013). Although questions specifically on species abundance distributions failed to make the final cut in the present survey, the significance of improving understanding of species abundances in insular settings, and how they link to other macroecological patterns (such as species–area relationships) is implicit in questions 13, 14, and 33 (see e.g. Fattorini *et al.*, 2016).

Another facet of island theory that can be traced back directly to MacArthur & Wilson (1967) is the application of theory developed with marine islands (i.e. ‘real islands’) in mind to other insular contexts [Q15], be they mountain tops (sky islands, e.g. Sklenář *et al.*, 2014; Steinbauer *et al.*, 2016b), or other **habitat islands** isolated by a contrasting non-water matrix type (e.g. Kisel *et al.*, 2011; Matthews *et al.*, 2016). **MacArthur & Wilson** themselves highlighted the application of their equilibrium theory to habitat islands in the context of the fragmentation of formerly extensive, contiguous ecosystems by anthropogenic land use change, and this remains an area of interest and contention, with the quantitative implications of such processes for biodiversity conservation remaining uncertain (Triantis *et al.*, 2010; Axelsen *et al.*, 2013; He & Hubbell, 2013; Matthews *et al.*, 2016).

Island biogeographic theory invokes historical biological processes (colonization, speciation, extinction) to explain contemporary species distribution patterns, which has yielded a large body of phylogenetic and population genetic island-focussed research. Such studies help advance models of island biogeography [Q16], link short term, within-

island ecological processes to patterns emerging on large spatial or evolutionary scales, and thus help to unify theories of ecology and biogeography (e.g. Johnson *et al.*, 2000; Steinbauer, 2017; see also Qs 17–20). Future statistical advances toward this goal may include comparing the fit of data among the predictions of competing phylogenetic and population genetic simulation models (e.g. Chan *et al.*, 2014; Patiño *et al.*, 2015), or combining phylogenetic and population genetic perspectives into unified statistical frameworks (e.g. Rannala & Yang, 2003). Combining a phylogenetic perspective with population genetic approaches may also help to establish links between macroevolutionary patterns and underlying microevolutionary mechanisms (e.g. Ricklefs & Bermingham, 2001; Jordal & Hewitt, 2004; Roderick *et al.*, 2012; Paun *et al.*, 2016), thus advancing our understanding of island biogeographic history.

Island (Macro)Evolution

Immigration–speciation–extinction dynamics

Q17. How does the spatial configuration of an archipelago (e.g. intra-archipelagic connectivity) influence colonization, speciation and extinction over time? [# 23; % = 70.1]

Q18. What is the nature of the relationship between rates of extinction and island isolation, if any? [# 46; % = 64.1]

Q19. How do the extinction probabilities of island endemic species compare to those of non-endemic species? [# 33; % = 67.2]

Q20. How important are diversity-dependent processes for island colonization, speciation and extinction? [# 11; % = 73]

Q21. How do anthropogenic extinctions affect estimates of speciation and natural extinction on island systems? [# 43; % = 64.8]

Island biodiversity emerges from the accumulation of species through time by colonization and establishment from outside areas, anagenetic change, and extensive diversification, all being counterbalanced by the depletive effects of extinction. The relative roles of these macroevolutionary processes are predicted to be functionally interrelated (e.g. MacArthur & Wilson, 1963, 1967; Emerson & Kolm, 2005; Emerson & Gillespie, 2008; Whittaker *et al.*, 2008; Rominger *et al.*, 2016), but understanding their dynamics over time remains a central challenge in island biology. Geographical context plays an important role in determining how colonization, extinction and speciation [Qs 17, 18] dynamically vary and interact over time (see Cabral *et al.*, 2014; Papadopoulou & Knowles, 2015b). While the effect of geography on macroevolution is well understood for some processes (e.g. cladogenesis generally increases with island area; see Kisel & Barraclough, 2010), for others, this relationship remains largely unknown (e.g. extinction *versus* isolation in Q18). Time-calibrated phylogenies have been of particular interest in investigating the processes of speciation and colonization, but they provide no direct evidence for extinction. Thus, while rates of diversification can be derived directly from dated phylogenies, estimating the underlying rates of colonization, speciation and extinction is more challenging. However, it is now possible to apply a model-based approach to estimate how these processes vary through time (Valente *et al.*, 2014, 2015),

suggesting that there is further potential for phylogenetics to inform island biogeography. It is important that we note here that Q18 does not, in fact, specify a context involving extinction of endemic species, and the question of how extinction rate varies with isolation can be posed for a wide range of island systems and degrees of isolation, including for instance among non-endemic species on habitat islands (as e.g. Brown & Kodric-Brown, 1977).

Endemic species distributions have been used together with comparative phylogenetic analysis to infer colonization, speciation and extinction dynamics with island ontogeny (Emerson & Oromí, 2005; Givnish *et al.*, 2009; Rosindell & Phillimore, 2011; Shaw & Gillespie, 2016), and may provide a further means to address the influence of geographical context. Gains may also be made if it were possible to infer per species contemporary extinction risk due to anthropogenic change processes (a theme covered at least partially by Q19), which may also aid conservation strategies (e.g. Qs 42–45). Several models of island biogeography have either implicitly (the taxon cycle, see Ricklefs & Bermingham, 2002) or explicitly (the general dynamic model, Whittaker *et al.*, 2008) related the single island endemic status of species to increased extinction probability relative to other species on the same island. Thus, question 19 can be addressed not only in a contemporary conservation context but also in relation to longer-term natural turnover. Although extinction is a difficult parameter to quantify, simply understanding whether there is a fundamental difference in extinction risk between endemic and non-endemic species [Q19] would be a significant step forward.

MacArthur and Wilson (1967) expressed their intuition of a negative feedback of diversity on the accumulation of species on an island [Q20], either through an increased

extinction rate or through a decreased colonization rate by means of niche saturation by early colonists. Their argument illustrates the early foundation of a still debated question: is there a limit to the number of species a given area can sustain? This question has been the subject of recent discussions (Harmon & Harrison, 2015; Rabosky & Hurlbert, 2015) and its longevity pertains in part to the difficulty of measuring turnover rates let alone negative diversity feedbacks on evolutionary processes such as speciation. However, a number of recent methodological developments (Rabosky, 2006; Etienne *et al.*, 2012; Valente *et al.*, 2015) promise improved analytical power and have already revealed that diversity-dependence in both colonization and speciation can potentially be inferred from empirical data based on island phylogenies (Valente *et al.*, 2015). The issue of diversity-dependence [Q20] is central to understanding island biodiversity dynamics, equilibrium and biotic interactions on evolutionary time-scales [Q6] and promises to remain a key topic over at least the next few years.

There is no a single path to extinction, and the role of humans as drivers of distribution range shifts and extinctions in both recent historical and prehistorical time has increasingly gained relevance on islands. This significance can be mirrored in the species listed by the IUCN as extinct, of which 61% were confined to islands (Tershy *et al.*, 2015), and among the 20 world territories with the highest percentages of extinct and threatened species in both bird and mammal group lists, 19 and 17 are insular, respectively (Vié *et al.*, 2009); remarkable statistics given that the 19 bird and 17 mammal territories themselves represent a mere 0.6% and 1.9% of the Earth's subaerial landmass, respectively (Vié *et al.*, 2009). Compounding these issues is the unknown degree to which island taxa have been eliminated as a consequence of human

colonization of islands and before their scientific documentation [Q21]. For birds in the Pacific, to take the most infamous example, extrapolations from the relatively small number of islands studied in detail, suggest that hundreds of undocumented species extinctions may have taken place following Polynesian colonization (Steadman, 2006), undermining efforts to estimate natural rates of speciation and extinction from these insular systems [Q21].

Speciation and diversification

Q22. What functional traits (e.g. relating to dispersal capacity, reproduction, trophic ecology) are associated with high diversification rates within and across island systems? [# 2; % = 77.9]

Q23. What traits best predict which groups will undergo adaptive radiation on islands? [# 17; % = 71.1]

Q24. What is the relative importance of ecological *versus* geographical speciation on islands? [# 31; % = 67.8]

Q25. What is the influence of gene flow among islands and/or between islands and mainland areas on speciation rates? [# 19; % = 70.8]

Spectacular species radiations are perhaps the best known feature of oceanic islands (Losos & Ricklefs, 2009). However, the majority of lineages either do not diversify at all, or only to a very limited extent, with high diversification rates typically restricted to a limited number of lineages within an island or archipelago (for animals see e.g. Ricklefs & Bermingham, 2007; and Illera *et al.*, 2012; and for plants see e.g. Patiño *et al.*, 2014a).

Answering the question of why only some lineages diversify is central to a deeper understanding of island community assembly, the origin of biological diversity in general [Q22], and adaptive radiations in particular [Q23]. Diversified lineages are often associated with ecological divergence and adaptive radiation, but non-ecological mechanisms are also expected in insular settings where the interaction of geology, topography and climate promote speciation by local geographic isolation [Q24].

As the number of independent phylogenetic and population genetic studies increases, comparative analyses can shed light on the functional traits associated with accelerated diversification rates [Q22]. This approach has recently demonstrated that a herbaceous dry-fruited ancestral syndrome is frequently associated with diversified plant lineages across different archipelagos (García-Verdugo *et al.*, 2014). A more complete understanding of the contribution and functional relevance of speciation to island community assembly will require not only identifying the traits associated with diversification, but also the drivers underlying their change, and thus those traits that underscore adaptive radiation [Q23]. Distinguishing among the drivers of natural selection, sexual selection and non-selective processes for speciation is not a trivial task, as multiple drivers may underlie trait divergence. This interconnectedness among the different drivers of speciation and diversification [Qs 22–24] is exemplified by delphacid planthoppers of the genus *Nesosydne* in the Hawaiian islands. The species of *Nesosydne* are recognised as an adaptive radiation linked to host plant use, however, sexual selection and non-selective processes also contribute to reproductive isolation via divergence of sexual signals (Goodman *et al.*, 2015). Another interesting aspect of trait evolution will

be to determine whether similar traits promote high diversification rates in both islands and mainland areas [see Q10].

Molecular data can provide insight into the importance of geography and gene flow in the speciation process, both within islands and among islands and mainland areas [Qs 24, 25]. Intuitively, small amounts of gene flow would seem likely to retard speciation, but it is increasingly recognised that, at least under some circumstances, introgression may promote speciation, and that this might be particularly relevant within insular settings (see Warren *et al.*, 2015; Faria *et al.*, 2016). To understand the influence of gene flow among islands and mainland areas on speciation rates [Q25], robust estimates of historical gene flow are required. The advent of high-throughput cost-effective genomic sequencing approaches for non-model organisms will fuel further advances in our understanding of the interplay between isolation, gene flow and speciation (e.g. Papadopoulou & Knowles, 2015a).

Dispersal and colonization

Q26. What is the importance of founder effects for the evolution of island lineages?
[# 8 % = 74.4]

Q27. How frequent is inter-island dispersal and is it enough to form an archipelago-wide metacommunity, or are islands better understood as functionally independent communities? [# 26 % = 69.1]

Q28. How can palaeoecology contribute to the understanding of species arrival, establishment and spread on islands? [# 35 % = 66.8]

634 High dispersal rates among islands will push populations toward genetic homogeneity,
635 whereas low dispersal rates will facilitate divergence among populations on different
636 islands and high rates of inter-island cladogenetic speciation (Emerson & Faria, 2014).
637 Despite colonization, establishment and divergence rates being crucial within island
638 biogeographic theory, both the frequency of dispersal events between islands [Qs 26, 27]
639 and actual dispersal mechanism responsible for inter-island colonization are unknown for
640 most species (for plants see Heleno & Vargas, 2015). The arrival of colonizing
641 propagules to remote islands is intrinsically a rare event and even when they make this
642 journey, successful colonization is contingent on their reproduction and the establishment
643 of a viable population, which can be equally challenging. In the extreme, the founder may
644 be a single gravid female, a female with stored sperm, or a parthenogenetic individual, or
645 at most, a small group of individuals. Thus, the limited genetic diversity transported by
646 these individuals may be decisive for the outcome. Theory suggests that such founder
647 effects may be a driver of insular evolution, speciation and further diversification (e.g.
648 Mayr, 1954; Carson, 1968; Templeton, 1980), but they may equally select for
649 evolutionary lineages that are less negatively affected by low genetic variation and
650 inbreeding. Importantly, and while the relevance of these founder effects can be
651 particularly clear for the evolution of island lineages [Q26], they can also be highly
652 relevant for evolution within habitat islands such as caves, lakes or mountain tops (e.g.
653 Wessel *et al.*, 2013). This may be particularly relevant if reduced dispersal ability is a
654 characteristic of island lineages in general and highly diversified lineages in particular
655 [see Qs 11 and 22].

One of the key attributes that make islands ideal models for ecology and evolution is their well-defined borders (Whittaker & Fernández-Palacios, 2007). However, most islands are embedded in regional groups of islands so that the nearest coast is not of a continent but of another island. In addition, islands of high elevation are environmentally diverse (at least in climatic regimes) and source regions for potential colonisers can then differ between habitats (Steinbauer, 2017). Therefore, archipelago configurations and environmental gradients can blur the lines of what seems the most relevant unit to study for particular topics within island biology: the archipelago, the island, or ecozones within the island. Intuitively, the relevance of archipelago-level process will largely depend on the frequency of inter-island dispersal, so that when dispersal is low, island-level processes dominate, and when dispersal is high, archipelago-level processes become increasingly relevant. Ultimately, inter-island dispersal can be so important that single-island populations are better understood in their broader context, as part of an archipelagic metapopulation (Hanski, 1998). As the empirical observation of inter-island movements is logistically challenging, population genetic data are particularly valuable for estimating the frequency of inter-island dispersal and thus for exploring question 27. Recent studies are providing novel insights in this direction (e.g. García-Verdugo *et al.*, 2014; Garrick *et al.*, 2014; Spurgin *et al.*, 2014; Hendrickx *et al.*, 2015; Vargas *et al.*, 2015; Faria *et al.*, 2016), but more research is needed to generate fine-grained spatial genetic data within focal archipelagos and to provide general answers.

Palaeoecology is a field of emerging importance in island biology. Palaeoecology has been used to understand the consequences of human colonization, frequently characterised by concomitant waves of extinction (Sadler, 1999; van der Geer *et al.*,

2016). In addition, climate data have been integrated in attempts to distinguish plant community compositional changes in response to shifts in climate from those in response to human activity (e.g. Nogué *et al.*, 2013). Extending the application of palaeoecology to investigate species arrival, establishment, and spread on islands [Q28] may be more feasible for species of recent origin, such as those that were introduced by early human colonizers. However, there is also potential for the analysis of much older native species, where temporal patterns of trait change can also be integrated [see Q23] to understand radiations (e.g. DeMiguel, 2016). Finally, alongside palaeoecological techniques, the emerging field of palaeogenomics, based on the analysis of ancient DNA, can become increasingly relevant for conservation by informing management and restoration decisions [see Qs 42–46, below] of island ecosystems under past and present anthropogenic pressure (e.g. Wilmshurst *et al.*, 2014).

Island Community Ecology

Community assembly

Q29. How do taxonomic, phylogenetic and functional diversities of island communities change during assembly and disassembly of island systems? [# 39; % = 65.7]

Q30. How do island area, elevation and isolation influence the community composition and dynamics of island systems? [# 1; % = 78.9]

Q31. What are the relative roles of island age, phylogenetic group and functional ecology in determining natural (background) extinction rates among oceanic island taxa? [# 21; % = 70.5]

Q32. How does the order of colonization influence emergent outcomes in the assembly of island biotas? [# 13; % = 72.1]

Q33. How important are rare species for the functioning of island communities? [# 30; % = 67.8]

Q34. How does *in situ* evolution drive the functioning of island ecosystems? [# 14; % = 72]

Comparisons of species richness among islands are evolving with the incorporation of more informative estimators of diversity using taxonomic, phylogenetic and functional trait data. How these measures of diversity respond to island ontogenetic change at the community level, and how they are influenced by other abiotic parameters [Qs 29–31] remains largely unexplored (but see Santos *et al.*, 2011, 2016a; Whittaker *et al.*, 2014; Cardoso *et al.*, 2015). The unpredictability that accompanies island assembly by colonization raises the question of how important colonization order (i.e. priority effects) may be in explaining assembly patterns on both ecological and evolutionary timescales [Q32]. For example, for evolutionary patterns of assembly it has been suggested that a ‘founder takes all’ density-dependence principle may account for tendencies towards monophyly in diverse genera of flowering plants that have diversified *in situ* on certain oceanic archipelagos (Silvertown, 2004; Silvertown *et al.*, 2005). In addition, phylogenetic evidence supports the proposition that a ‘progression-rule’ pattern of younger species being derived from older species found on successively older islands is commonplace among oceanic archipelagos (Carstensen *et al.*, 2013; Shaw & Gillespie, 2016). Waters *et al.* (2013) suggest that it is likely that dispersal of related lineages is

ongoing, but that establishment of the first founding lineages effectively reduces the probability of establishment by subsequent migrants (see also Schaefer *et al.*, 2011). Extending this logic, one can propose that abundance or range size differences between functionally similar species may be a consequence of colonization order, although over longer time scales, taxon cycle dynamics may develop a sequential pattern of colonization, followed by population expansion and subsequent contraction of range of earlier colonists (e.g. Wilson, 1961; Ricklefs & Bermingham, 2002; Carstensen *et al.*, 2013; Economo *et al.*, 2015). Given the historical dimension to this topic, comparative phylogenetic analyses for the estimation of relative colonization times should continue to be a profitable approach.

The majority of the species on Earth present restricted distributions and/or small abundances, with comparatively few being cosmopolitan in distribution. Remote islands possess high numbers of endemic species, which are, by nature of the limited size of islands, rare in the sense that they have small global ranges. What is less clear is whether, in the absence of human interference, island endemic species are also rare in terms of population sizes and local density, which constitutes distinct forms of rarity. The implications of the potential loss of rare species for other species with which they interact, and for overall patterns of ecosystem form and function, remain under-researched [Q33], with most illustrations of ecological cascades focussed on a limited range of vertebrate taxa (e.g. giant tortoise, bird communities), which may well have originally been rare only in the sense of having restricted ranges. To address this issue will require better data on species distribution and abundance as well as systematic and

comprehensive community-level assessments of ecosystem form and function (e.g. Traveset *et al.*, 2013; Trøjelsgaard *et al.*, 2013).

Similarly, the importance of local assembly and *in situ* evolution for ecosystem functioning [Q34] remains underexplored (see Warren *et al.*, 2015). As one of the few case studies in the literature, Rominger *et al.* (2016) compiled ecological, genetic and phylogenetic data from a suite of Hawaiian endemic arthropods across a geological chronosequence to investigate the relative roles of dispersal and *in situ* differentiation in the assembly of plant–herbivore networks. Similar, comparative, plot-based and experimental approaches to exploit the natural chronosequences provided by oceanic islands hold promise for addressing questions [e.g. Qs 29, 32] posed in this section seeking to integrate ecological and evolutionary theory (e.g. Heleno *et al.*, 2010; Trøjelsgaard *et al.*, 2013).

Biotic interactions

Q35. How do climate and sea-level changes influence biotic interactions on islands? [# 18; % = 71]

Q36. How do biotic interactions (within and between trophic levels) influence immigration, extinction and speciation rates on islands? [# 3; % = 77.2]

The Quaternary period (the last 2.588 Myr) has been a period of major climatic fluctuation between glacial and inter-glacial conditions, which have driven associated eustatic changes in sea-level, with an amplitude of the order of 120–130 m. Interglacial periods are times of high sea-level stands while the lowest sea-levels are typical of late

glacial stages (e.g. the Last Glacial Maximum c. 21 ka). These changes result in altered island area, elevation, and effective degree of isolation, largely in synchrony with changing regional climate regimes. Indeed, many islands have emerged and submerged, or joined and been parted from larger land-masses, reiteratively, during this period.

On theoretical grounds, islands affected by such processes are expected to have shown pulses of enhanced immigration and/or extinction, e.g. with sea-level rise after the LGM driving pulses of extinction, especially from former land-bridge islands. In turn these changes must be linked to altered patterns of biotic interaction via competition, predation, predator-release, altered pollination or dispersal networks [Q35]. Recent improvements in understanding of both regional climate and sea-level adjustments open the possibility to search for such effects in the structure of contemporary island biotas. Conversely, over time, ecological and evolutionary adjustments in biotic interactions can be expected to alter rates of immigration, extinction and speciation and thus equilibrial levels of species diversity (Wilson, 1969; Whittaker & Jones, 1994; Gravel *et al.*, 2011) [Q36], although quantifying such effects remains challenging. Similarly, how those interactions and dynamics have been and may be modified under future climate change and, for instance associated sea-level change, is a topic of considerable uncertainty (Tylianakis, 2009; Montoya & Raffaelli, 2010). In a recent review, Barraclough (2015) summarises that, among other consequences, ecological interactions among species can promote evolutionary changes through coevolution, and/or alter evolutionary outcomes by influencing selection pressures relative to specific abiotic conditions. Such divergent outcomes depend on species numbers and the distribution of interaction strengths across the interaction network space.

One framework for analysing changes in interaction networks was provided by Holt (1996, 2009) who put forward a model on the spatial limitations to food web size and structure, based on *Core IBT*, called the trophic theory of island biogeography. In a subsequent development, Gravel *et al.* (2011) developed a stochastic model of multi-species occupancy dynamics, which showed that trophic interactions could have a substantial impact on how immigration and extinction rates determine patterns of species richness on islands. Their model focuses on herbivory or predation, but it does not consider mutualistic interactions (like pollination or seed dispersal) or host–parasite interactions, which are crucial for biodiversity maintenance and island colonization. Nonetheless, Gravel *et al.* (2011) also found that immigration–extinction dynamics could promote greater occupancy of generalist versus specialist taxa in small areas. Although their approach is promising, it relies on mechanistic models for simplifying and linking whole-community empirical evidence (Barracough, 2015). Further improvements to such models, for example, by incorporating mutualistic and/or host-parasite interactions, will be of value for understanding the role of biotic interactions in island community assembly.

Island Conservation and Management

Global change

Q37. How, if at all, do island biotas differ from continental biotas in their response to global change? [# 32; % = 67.5]

Q38. Are island species more prone to extinction than their closest relatives on the mainland, and if so, why? [# 4; % = 75.5]

Q39. How can we identify which island taxa are most at risk from global change and what are their risk-associated traits? [# 5; % = 75.4]

Q40. What determines anthropogenic extinction rates among island taxa? [# 25; % = 69.7]

Q41. How do anthropogenic changes within islands impact on the capacity of island species to respond successfully to climate change? [# 44; % = 65.3]

The Earth's ecosystems and their biotas are increasingly transformed by direct and indirect human pressures (e.g. Barnosky *et al.*, 2012), a process particularly evident on many islands (Caujapé-Castells *et al.*, 2010; Kueffer & Kaiser-Bunbury, 2014; Tershy *et al.*, 2015). Thus, it remains crucial to better understand how island systems may respond to anthropogenic threats such as habitat loss, biological invasion and climate change. This urgency is clearly captured by our survey-based approach, with the two first questions of this subsection focusing on how island and continental biotas differ in their response to global-change processes in which humans are increasingly dominant [Qs 37, 38]. Island organisms are often characterized by globally small population sizes, limited geographical distribution ranges, and endemics of narrow distribution, driven by limited habitat availability and unique traits resulting from prolonged evolutionary isolation (e.g. Whittaker & Fernández-Palacios, 2007). It is generally thought that these features, in combination with multiple anthropogenic change agents on islands, combine to make island species more prone to human-induced extinction than their continental counterparts [Qs 37, 38]. Despite long-standing hypotheses (e.g. Elton, 1958), most studies have focussed either on island or continental systems, and more comparative

studies are urgently needed, to provide better resolution on levels of island endangerment and the specific factors and combinations of them that drive extinction risk (but see e.g. Bowen & Vuren, 1997; Siliceo & Díaz, 2010; Traveset *et al.*, 2016).

Despite the increasing interest in species responses to ongoing global change, current predictions and conclusions greatly vary among regions and taxa (e.g. Urban, 2015). Rising rates of extinction create an urgent need to identify the traits and mechanisms that render species vulnerable to extinction [Qs 39, 40], by answering questions such as to what extent phylogenetic lineages are equally at risk from the same anthropogenic threats (e.g. Ducatez & Shine, 2016). Although some traits (e.g. large-bodied animals, flightlessness, strong ecological specialization) have been associated with species rarity and their proneness to extinction (e.g. Boyer, 2008; Kirkpatrick & Peischl, 2012; Illera *et al.*, 2016), case studies document that adaptive mechanisms can counter the genetic disadvantages associated with small population sizes, rescuing species from the negative consequences of anthropogenic environmental change (e.g. Lavergne *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, studies that identify the level of risk that global change poses to species and the specific traits that contribute to extinction risk on islands should remain a priority [Qs 39, 40], with a particular focus on how climate change may interact with other threat factors [Q41]. Gaining such information can help identify, forecast and mitigate anthropogenic threats, ultimately leading to the development of more cost-effective preventative and management strategies (Cardillo & Meijaard, 2012).

Conservation and management policies

Q42. How can we identify islands that are more susceptible to biodiversity loss in the coming decade, and what are the most efficient and cost-effective methods (i.e. policy; education; research; management) for safeguarding their biodiversity? [# 6; % = 75.3]

Q43. What are the best strategies for *in situ* conservation of island species impacted by non-native species? [# 16; % = 71.6]

Q44. What are the most effective methods for responding to the anthropogenic extinction crisis on islands? [# 29; % = 68.3]

Q45. How can we best implement long-term monitoring schemes on islands to provide quantitative evidence of changes within island ecological systems? [# 36; % = 66.7]

Q46. How can conservation interests best be integrated with other island stakeholder interests (particularly tourism) on populated islands? [# 41; % = 65.3]

Whereas island biologists are well aware that solutions to island conservation problems require broad interdisciplinary approaches (Kingsford *et al.*, 2009), the questions in this and the next section [Qs 42–50] are deliberately oriented to scientific issues within island biology that may inform management strategies [Q42]. While the impacts of non-native species [Q43, see also Qs 47–50] are not unique to islands, remote islands provide some of the most familiar and dramatic cases (e.g. the impact of brown tree snake on Guam, and of rats, cats, rabbits, mongoose and goats on many islands), with much recent effort devoted to developing effective control and eradication methods that minimize non-target effects [Qs 42–46]. The scale of the problem is such that, despite notable successes (see

e.g. Olivera *et al.*, 2010; Rivera-Parra *et al.*, 2012; Nogales *et al.*, 2013; Stokstad, 2013; Robinson & Copson, 2014), increased efforts are evidently needed. The integration of biodiversity conservation goals with those of other stakeholders [Qs 42, 46] is an area where much less published work exists and the potential for political conflict is rife (e.g. Fernández-Palacios & de Nascimento, 2011; Fernandes *et al.*, 2015), but in which the engagement of biologists with other specialists in the development of strategies and monitoring of impacts is surely crucial (e.g. Gil *et al.*, 2011; Bentz *et al.*, 2013).

Invasive alien species

Q47. What are the impacts of novel biotic interactions between and among alien and native species on island biodiversity and ecosystem functioning? [# 10; % = 73.3]

Q48. How does the invasion stage (i.e. colonization, establishment, and long-term adaptation) of alien taxa affect distribution ranges and biotic interactions of native insular biotas? [# 24; % = 69.8]

Q49. To what extent can alien species act as functional substitutes for extinct native species on islands? [# 40; % = 65.5]

Q50. How do the ecological effects of introduced species differ from those of naturally arriving colonist species on islands? [# 34; % = 66.9]

Biotic invasions constitute one of the greatest threats to island native biodiversity (e.g. Caujapé-Castells *et al.*, 2010; Kueffer *et al.*, 2010; McCreless *et al.*, 2016). Given their geographic isolation, replicated numbers and discrete zonal ecosystems, islands are model systems for understanding how biological invasions affect community structure

and ecosystem function, eventually leading to more efficient conservation and management strategies. A major challenge and a priority in island conservation is to better understand the responses of ecosystems (Kueffer *et al.*, 2010) and, particularly, biotic interactions networks (Sax & Gaines, 2008; Heleno *et al.*, 2013) to invasion [Q47]. It has been proposed that the underlying determinants and subsequent outcomes of invasions may vary depending on the invasion stage (i.e. the introduction–naturalization–invasion continuum; for a review see Richardson & Pyšek, 2012). Little is known (but see Traveset *et al.*, 2013) about how the different invasion stages negatively impact geographic distributions and biotic interactions of native insular biotas [Q48]. With a majority of the economic and practical efforts focused on the ‘invasion’ stage (Richardson & Pyšek, 2012), research that broadens and improves our understanding of the factors implicated in the establishment and naturalization of introduced organisms [Q48] will have important consequences for the management and control of biological invasions on islands.

Following the logic of MacArthur and Wilson (1967; see also the ‘saturation point’ proposed by Sax & Gaines, 2008), the natural and/or anthropogenic addition of new colonizers can potentially result in the local extinction of measurable numbers of native species, with knock-on consequences for ecosystem functions performed by lost species (e.g. McConkey & Drake, 2006). More information is needed on the functional roles played by alien species on islands and the extent to which some may become effective substitutes for extinct native species [Q49] (Traveset *et al.*, 2013). The existing literature shows a clear bias towards certain taxonomic groups (for birds, see e.g. Heleno *et al.*, 2013) and the limited evidence to date suggests that introductions rarely fully

compensate the functional roles of lost native species (Sobral *et al.*, 2016; but see Olesen *et al.*, 2002). Studies in which the effects of new natural colonizers and those introduced by humans are compared [Q50] remain virtually absent, due at least in part to the difficulties in defining nativeness in organisms for which there is no historical (e.g. fossil, observation) and/or molecular evidence (e.g. Essl *et al.*, 2015; Patiño & Vanderpoorten, 2015).

DISCUSSION

We conducted this horizon-scanning exercise to help advance the field of island biology through the identification of 50 key questions to coincide with the 50th anniversary of MacArthur and Wilson's seminal monograph. The intention was to generate and select questions of broad scope, answerable through realistic research approaches. Although updates of the present list of questions will be necessary in the coming years, we hope that this contribution will supplement recent efforts to pinpoint challenges and advances in island biology research (e.g. Fernández-Palacios *et al.*, 2015; Warren *et al.*, 2015; Borges *et al.*, 2016; Borregaard *et al.*, 2016; Santos *et al.*, 2016b), as it captures many of the top issues and challenges identified as cross-cutting subject areas. Such a multilateral approach may foster the formation of interdisciplinary networks formed by island ecologists, evolutionary biologists, managers and policy makers.

It is clear that addressing many of the 50 questions will benefit from an interdisciplinary and integrative approach. To take one methodological area as

illustrative, phylogenetics has been a core element within research across the first three subject areas of our study. It features explicitly within five questions [Qs 1, 3, 16, 29, 31], and is implicit within many others [e.g. Qs 7, 18, 20, 32]. As the number of published phylogenies increases, researchers will likely find new ways to exploit them, and novel approaches published in recent years (e.g. Ronquist & Sanmartín, 2011) provide a firm foundation for continued advances. We suggest that the field is likely to see increased efforts to integrate across large numbers of independent phylogenies to address macroecological and macroevolutionary questions in island biology.

Despite the long and critical influence of islands on ecological and evolutionary theories, the focus of efforts has typically remained limited to the scale of individual islands or single archipelagos. In the coming years, the analysis of biogeographical dynamics performed through the comparative study of multiple archipelagos may provide us with a better understanding of the regulation of biodiversity at higher levels of spatial organization (e.g. Price & Wagner, 2011; Cabral *et al.*, 2014; Triantis *et al.*, 2015). To achieve this will require suitable comparable data across islands and archipelagos, and it is here that we believe that much progress can be made over the next 50 years. Coarse-grained analyses of island-scale biota such as those of Price and Wagner (2011), Cabral *et al.* (2014), Patiño *et al.* (2014b) and Triantis *et al.* (2015) can reveal recurrent patterns that either invoke or suggest process-based explanations. We predict that analogous but spatially fine-grained comparative analyses across islands and archipelagos will prove equally enlightening. Recent plot- or site-based approaches among and within habitats within islands (e.g. Heleno *et al.*, 2010; Emerson *et al.*, 2017), among islands (e.g. Rominger *et al.*, 2016) and among archipelagos (Cicconardi *et al.*, 2017) offer useful and

powerful frameworks. The key will be to coordinate across geographic regions to generate comparable data through replicated (or at least comparable) sampling. Such sampling can be directed towards questions from across the four subject areas within which the 50 questions have been grouped, with the importance for conservation and management having already been demonstrated (Heleno *et al.*, 2010). Such sampling calls for increased connectivity among research programs. This is in itself a logistical and financial challenge, but with the potential for high rewards.

The 50 fundamental questions identified in this paper emphasize the potential for island biology to inspire and guide empirical, theoretical and applied research questions related to ecological, evolutionary and conservation science. We hope that this first list of questions compiled under the legacy of MacArthur and Wilson's *Theory of Island Biogeography Theory* provides a source of inspiration for constructive discussions about the future agenda of island research and a fruitful arena for the coming generations of island biologists.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The '50 fundamental questions in island biology' working group is grateful to all persons who contributed with question submissions and who made contributions to the different rounds of online surveys, and to the organization of the 2016 Island Biology Conference held in Azores for promoting this initiative during the event. J.P. was funded by the Spanish 'Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad' through the Juan de la Cierva

Program – Incorporation (IJC-2014-19691). S.P.A., R.H. and A.G. were funded by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (IF 00465/2015, IF/00441/2013 and SFRH/BPD/100017/2014 respectively). S.P.A. was funded by FEDER funds through the Operational Programme for Competitiveness Factors–COMPETE and by National Funds (FCT): UID/BIA/50027/2013 and POCI-01-0145-FEDER-006821. M.J.S. was supported by the Danish Carlsbergfondet (CF14-0148). A.M.C.S. was supported by a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship (IEF 331623 ‘COMMSTRUCT’) and by a Juan de la Cierva Fellowship (IJC-2014-19502) funded by the Spanish ‘Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad’. The projects MACDIV FCT-PTDC/BIABIC/0054/2014) and MOVECLIM (ANR 11/EBIM/007/01 inspired a group of questions in the thematic of Macro(Ecology) and Community Ecology. B.C.E. was supported by Spanish grant CGL2013-42589-P awarded to BCE by the MINECO and co-financed by FEDER, and Spanish grant S20141203_002597 awarded to BCE by the Organismo Autónomo Parques Nacionales of the MAGRAMA. The Crossing the Atlantic Mobility Program of the Fundação Luso-Americana para o Desenvolvimento (FLAD-UAc) supported D.S.G. to attend the Island Biology 2016 conference. We are also grateful to P. Linder and four anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments.

REFERENCES

Ali, J.R. & Aitchison, J.C. (2014) Exploring the combined role of eustasy and oceanic island thermal subsidence in shaping biodiversity on the Galápagos. *Journal of Biogeography*, **41**, 1227–1241.

1022 Ávila, S.P., Melo, C., Berning, B., Cordeiro, R., Landau, B. & da Silva, C.M. (2016)
 1023 *Persististrombus coronatus* (Mollusca: Strombidae) in the lower Pliocene of Santa Maria
 1024 Island (Azores, NE Atlantic): Paleoecology, paleoclimatology and paleobiogeographic
 1025 implications. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **441, Part 4**, 912–
 1026 923.

1027 Axelsen, J.B., Roll, U., Stone, L. & Solow, A. (2013) Species–area relationships always
 1028 overestimate extinction rates from habitat loss. *Ecology*, **94**, 761–763.

1029 Barnosky, A.D., Hadly, E.A., Bascompte, J., Berlow, E.L., Brown, J.H., Fortelius, M.,
 1030 Getz, W.M., Harte, J., Hastings, A., Marquet, P.A., Martinez, N.D., Mooers, A.,
 1031 Roopnarine, P., Vermeij, G., Williams, J.W., Gillespie, R., Kitzes, J., Marshall, C.,
 1032 Matzke, N., Mindell, D.P., Revilla, E. & Smith, A.B. (2012) Approaching a state shift in
 1033 Earth’s biosphere. *Nature*, **486**, 52–58.

1034 Barraclough, T.G. (2015) How do species interactions affect evolutionary dynamics
 1035 across whole communities? *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, **46**,
 1036 25–48.

1037 Bellemain, E. & Ricklefs, R.E. (2008) Are islands the end of the colonization road?
 1038 *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **23**, 461–468.

1039 Bennett, G.M. & O’Grady, P.M. (2013) Historical biogeography and ecological
 1040 opportunity in the adaptive radiation of native Hawaiian leafhoppers (Cicadellidae:
 1041 *Nesophrosyne*). *Journal of Biogeography*, **40**, 1512–1523.

1042 Bentz, J., Dearden, P. & Calado, H. (2013) Strategies for marine wildlife tourism in small
 1043 islands – the case of the Azores. *Journal of Coastal Research*, **65**, 874–879.

1044 Borges, P.A.V., Cardoso, P., Gabriel, R., Ah-Peng, C. & Emerson, B.C. (2016)
 1045 Challenges, advances and perspectives in island biogeography. *Frontiers of*
 1046 *Biogeography*, **8**, 1–10.
 1047 Borregaard, M.K., Amorim, I.R., Borges, P.A.V., Cabral, J.S., Fernández-Palacios, J.M.,
 1048 Field, R., Heaney, L.R., Kreft, H., Matthews, T.J., Olesen, J.M., Price, J., Rigal, F.,
 1049 Steinbauer, M.J., Triantis, K.A., Valente, L., Weigelt, P. & Whittaker, R.J. (2016)
 1050 Oceanic island biogeography through the lens of the general dynamic model: assessment
 1051 and prospect. *Biological Reviews*, doi: 10.1111/brv.12256.
 1052 Bowen, L. & Vuren, D.V. (1997) Insular endemic plants lack defenses against
 1053 herbivores. *Conservation Biology*, **11**, 1249–1254.
 1054 Boyer, A.G. (2008) Extinction patterns in the avifauna of the Hawaiian islands. *Diversity*
 1055 *and Distributions*, **14**, 509–517.
 1056 Brown, J.H. & Kodric-Brown, A. (1977) Turnover rates in insular biogeography: effect
 1057 of immigration on extinction. *Ecology*, **58**, 445–449.
 1058 Burns, K.C., Herold, N. & Wallace, B. (2012) Evolutionary size changes in plants of the
 1059 south-west Pacific. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **21**, 819–828.
 1060 Cabral, J.S., Weigelt, P., Kissling, W.D. & Kreft, H. (2014) Biogeographic, climatic and
 1061 spatial drivers differentially affect α -, β - and γ -diversities on oceanic archipelagos.
 1062 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **281**, 20133246.
 1063 Cardillo, M. & Meijaard, E. (2012) Are comparative studies of extinction risk useful for
 1064 conservation? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **27**, 167–171.

1065 Cardoso, P., Rigal, F. & Carvalho, J.C. (2015) BAT – Biodiversity Assessment Tools, an
 1066 R package for the measurement and estimation of alpha and beta taxon, phylogenetic and
 1067 functional diversity. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **6**, 232–236.

1068 Carine, M.A. (2005) Spatio-temporal relationships of the Macaronesian endemic flora: a
 1069 relictual series or window of opportunity? *Taxon*, **54**, 895–903.

1070 Carine, M.A., Russell, S.J., Santos-Guerra, A. & Francisco-Ortega, J. (2004)
 1071 Relationships of the Macaronesian and Mediterranean floras: molecular evidence for
 1072 multiple colonizations into Macaronesia and back-colonization of the continent in
 1073 *Convolvulus* (Convolvulaceae). *American Journal of Botany*, **91**, 1070–1085.

1074 Carlquist, S.J. (1974) *Island Biology*. Columbia University Press, New York, USA.

1075 Carson, H.L. (1968) The population flush and its genetic consequences. *Population*
 1076 *biology and evolution* (ed. by R.C. Lewontin), pp. 123–137. Syracuse University Press
 1077 New York.

1078 Carstensen, D.W., Dalsgaard, B., Svenning, J.-C., Rahbek, C., Fjeldså, J., Sutherland,
 1079 W.J. & Olesen, J.M. (2013) The functional biogeography of species: biogeographical
 1080 species roles of birds in Wallacea and the West Indies. *Ecography*, **36**, 1097–1105.

1081 Caujapé-Castells, J. (2011) Jesters, red queens, boomerangs and surfers: A molecular
 1082 outlook on the diversity of the Canarian endemic flora. *The Biology of Island Floras* (ed.
 1083 by D. Bramwell and J. Caujapé-Castells), pp. 284–324. Cambridge University Press,
 1084 Cambridge, UK.

1085 Caujapé-Castells, J., Tye, A., Crawford, D.J., Santos-Guerra, A., Sakai, A., Beaver, K.,
 1086 Lobin, W., Florens, F.B.V., Moura, M., Jardim, R., Gómes, I. & Kueffer, C. (2010)

1087 Conservation of oceanic island floras: present and future global challenges. *Perspectives*
 1088 *in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, **12**, 107–129.
 1089 Chan, Y.L., Schanzenbach, D. & Hickerson, M.J. (2014) Detecting concerted
 1090 demographic response across community assemblages using hierarchical approximate
 1091 Bayesian computation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **31**, 2501–2515.
 1092 Cicconardi, F., Borges, P.A.V., Strasberg, D., Oromí, P., López, H., Pérez-Delgado, A.J.,
 1093 Casquet, J., Caujapé-Castells, J., Fernández-Palacios, J.M., Thébaud, C. & Emerson, B.C.
 1094 (2017) MtDNA metagenomics reveals large-scale invasion of belowground arthropod
 1095 communities by introduced species. *Molecular Ecology*, doi: accepted.
 1096 Cody, M.L. & Overton, J.M. (1996) Short-term evolution of reduced dispersal in island
 1097 plant populations. *Journal of Ecology*, **84**, 53–61.
 1098 Condamine, F.L., Leslie, A.B. & Antonelli, A. (2016) Ancient islands acted as refugia
 1099 and pumps for conifer diversity. *Cladistics*, doi: 10.1111/cla.12155.
 1100 Dalsgaard, B., Trøjelsgaard, K., Martín González, A.M., Nogués-Bravo, D., Ollerton, J.,
 1101 Petanidou, T., Sandel, B., Schleuning, M., Wang, Z., Rahbek, C., Sutherland, W.J.,
 1102 Svenning, J.-C. & Olesen, J.M. (2013) Historical climate-change influences modularity
 1103 and nestedness of pollination networks. *Ecography*, **36**, 1331–1340.
 1104 DeMiguel, D. (2016) Disentangling adaptive evolutionary radiations and the role of diet
 1105 in promoting diversification on islands. *Scientific Reports*, **6**, 29803.
 1106 Diamond, J.M. (1975) The island dilemma: lessons of modern biogeographic studies for
 1107 the design of natural reserves. *Biological conservation*, **7**, 129–146.
 1108 Ducatez, S. & Shine, R. (2016) Drivers of extinction risk in terrestrial vertebrates.
 1109 *Conservation Letters*, doi: 10.1111/conl.12258.

1110 Economo, E.P., Sarnat, E.M., Janda, M., Clouse, R., Klimov, P.B., Fischer, G.,
 1111 Blanchard, B.D., Ramirez, L.N., Andersen, A.N., Berman, M., Guénard, B., Lucky, A.,
 1112 Rabeling, C., Wilson, E.O. & Knowles, L.L. (2015) Breaking out of biogeographical
 1113 modules: range expansion and taxon cycles in the hyperdiverse ant genus *Pheidole*.
 1114 *Journal of Biogeography*, **42**, 2289–2301.
 1115 Elton, C.S. (1958) *The ecology of invasions by animals and plants*. Methuen & Co.,
 1116 London.
 1117 Emerson, B.C. & Faria, C.M.A. (2014) Fission and fusion in island taxa – serendipity, or
 1118 something to be expected? *Molecular Ecology*, **23**, 5132–5134.
 1119 Emerson, B.C. & Gillespie, R.G. (2008) Phylogenetic analysis of community assembly
 1120 and structure over space and time. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **23**, 619–630.
 1121 Emerson, B.C. & Kolm, N. (2005) Species diversity can drive speciation. *Nature*, **434**,
 1122 1015–1017.
 1123 Emerson, B.C. & Oromí, P. (2005) Diversification of the forest beetle genus *Tarphius* on
 1124 the Canary Islands, and the evolutionary origins of island endemics. *Evolution*, **59**, 586–
 1125 598.
 1126 Emerson, B.C., Casquet, J., López, H., Cardoso, P., Borges, P.A.V., Mollaret, N., Oromí,
 1127 P., Strasberg, D. & Thebaud, C. (2017) A combined field survey and molecular
 1128 identification protocol for comparing forest arthropod biodiversity across spatial scales.
 1129 *Molecular Ecology Resources*, doi: accepted.
 1130 Essl, F., Dullinger, S., Moser, D., Steinbauer, K. & Mang, T. (2015) Identifying alien
 1131 bryophytes taking into account uncertainties: a reply to Patiño & Vanderpoorten (2015).
 1132 *Journal of Biogeography*, **42**, 1362–1363.

1133 Etienne, R.S., Haegeman, B., Stadler, T., Aze, T., Pearson, P.N., Purvis, A. & Phillimore,
 1134 A.B. (2012) Diversity-dependence brings molecular phylogenies closer to agreement
 1135 with the fossil record. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **279**,
 1136 1300–1309.

1137 Faria, C.M.A., Machado, A., Amorim, I.R., Gage, M.J.G., Borges, P.A.V. & Emerson,
 1138 B.C. (2016) Evidence for multiple founding lineages and genetic admixture in the
 1139 evolution of species within an oceanic island weevil (Coleoptera, Curculionidae) super-
 1140 radiation. *Journal of Biogeography*, **43**, 178–191.

1141 Fattorini, S., Rigal, F., Cardoso, P. & Borges, P.A.V. (2016) Using species abundance
 1142 distribution models and diversity indices for biogeographical analyses. *Acta Oecologica*,
 1143 **70**, 21–28.

1144 Fernandes, J.P., Guiomar, N. & Gil, A. (2015) Strategies for conservation planning and
 1145 management of terrestrial ecosystems in small islands (exemplified for the Macaronesian
 1146 islands). *Environmental Science & Policy*, **51**, 1–22.

1147 Fernández-Mazuecos, M. & Vargas, P. (2011) Genetically depauperate in the continent
 1148 but rich in oceanic islands: *Cistus monspeliensis* (Cistaceae) in the Canary Islands. *PLoS*
 1149 *ONE*, **6**, e17172.

1150 Fernández-Palacios, J.M. (2016) Island biogeography: Shaped by sea-level shifts. *Nature*,
 1151 **532**, 42–43.

1152 Fernández-Palacios, J.M. & de Nascimento, L. (2011) Political erosion dismantles the
 1153 conservation network existing in the Canary Islands. *Frontiers of Biogeography*, **3**, 106–
 1154 110.

1155 Fernández-Palacios, J.M., de Nascimento, L., Otto, R., Delgado, J.D., García-del-Rey, E.,
 1156 Arévalo, J.R. & Whittaker, R.J. (2011) A reconstruction of Palaeo-Macaronesia, with
 1157 particular reference to the long-term biogeography of the Atlantic island laurel forests.
 1158 *Journal of Biogeography*, **38**, 226–246.

1159 Fernández-Palacios, J.M., Kueffer, C. & Drake, D. (2015) A new golden era in island
 1160 biogeography. *Frontiers of Biogeography*, **7**, 14–20.

1161 Fernández-Palacios, J.M., Rijdsdijk, K.F., Norder, S.J., Otto, R., de Nascimento, L.,
 1162 Fernández-Lugo, S., Tjørve, E. & Whittaker, R.J. (2016) Towards a glacial-sensitive
 1163 model of island biogeography. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **25**, 817–830.

1164 Filardi, C.E. & Moyle, R.G. (2005) Single origin of a pan-Pacific bird group and
 1165 upstream colonization of Australasia. *Nature*, **438**, 216–219.

1166 Frankham, R. (1997) Do island populations have less genetic variation than mainland
 1167 populations? *Heredity*, **78**, 311–327.

1168 García-Verdugo, C., Baldwin, B.G., Fay, M.F. & Caujapé-Castells, J. (2014) Life history
 1169 traits and patterns of diversification in oceanic archipelagos: a meta-analysis. *Botanical*
 1170 *Journal of the Linnean Society*, **174**, 334–348.

1171 García-Verdugo, C., Sajeve, M., La Mantia, T., Harrouni, C., Msanda, F. & Caujapé-
 1172 Castells, J. (2015) Do island plant populations really have lower genetic variation than
 1173 mainland populations? Effects of selection and distribution range on genetic diversity
 1174 estimates. *Molecular Ecology*, **24**, 726–741.

1175 Garrick, R.C., Benavides, E., Russello, M.A., Hyseni, C., Edwards, D.L., Gibbs, J.P.,
 1176 Tapia, W., Ciofi, C. & Caccone, A. (2014) Lineage fusion in Galápagos giant tortoises.
 1177 *Molecular Ecology*, **23**, 5276–5290.

1178 Gil, A., Calado, H., Costa, L.T., Bentz, J., Fonseca, C., Lobo, A., Vergilio, M. &
 1179 Benedicto, J. (2011) A methodological proposal for the development of Natura 2000 sites
 1180 management plans. *Journal of Coastal Research*, **SI 64**, 1326–1330.

1181 Gillespie, R.G., Baldwin, B.G., Waters, J.M., Fraser, C.I., Nikula, R. & Roderick, G.K.
 1182 (2012) Long-distance dispersal: a framework for hypothesis testing. *Trends in Ecology &*
 1183 *Evolution*, **27**, 47–56.

1184 Givnish, T.J., Millam, K.C., Mast, A.R., Paterson, T.B., Theim, T.J., Hipp, A.L., Henss,
 1185 J.M., Smith, J.F., Wood, K.R. & Sytsma, K.J. (2009) Origin, adaptive radiation and
 1186 diversification of the Hawaiian lobeliads (Asterales: Campanulaceae). *Proceedings of the*
 1187 *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **276**, 407.

1188 Goldberg, E.E., Roy, K., Lande, R. & Jablonski, D. (2005) Diversity, endemism, and age
 1189 distributions in macroevolutionary sources and sinks. *The American Naturalist*, **165**,
 1190 623–633.

1191 Goodman, K.R., Kelley, J.P., Welter, S.C., Roderick, G.K. & Elias, D.O. (2015) Rapid
 1192 diversification of sexual signals in Hawaiian *Nesosydne* planthoppers (Hemiptera:
 1193 Delphacidae): the relative role of neutral and selective forces. *Journal of Evolutionary*
 1194 *Biology*, **28**, 415–427.

1195 Grady, P. & DeSalle, R. (2008) Out of Hawaii: The origin and biogeography of the genus
 1196 *Scaptomyza* (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *Biology Letters*, **4**, 195–199.

1197 Gravel, D., Massol, F., Canard, E., Mouillot, D. & Mouquet, N. (2011) Trophic theory of
 1198 island biogeography. *Ecology Letters*, **14**, 1010–1016.

1199 Hanski, I. (1998) Metapopulation dynamics. *Nature*, **396**, 41–49.

1200 Harmon, L.J. & Harrison, S. (2015) Species diversity is dynamic and unbounded at local
 1201 and continental scales. *The American Naturalist*, **185**, 584–593.

1202 He, F. & Hubbell, S. (2013) Estimating extinction from species–area relationships: why
 1203 the numbers do not add up. *Ecology*, **94**, 1905–1912.

1204 Heleno, R. & Vargas, P. (2015) How do islands become green? *Global Ecology and*
 1205 *Biogeography*, **24**, 518–526.

1206 Heleno, R., Lacerda, I., Ramos, J.A. & Memmott, J. (2010) Evaluation of restoration
 1207 effectiveness: community response to the removal of alien plants. *Ecological*
 1208 *Applications*, **20**, 1191–1203.

1209 Heleno, R.H., Olesen, J.M., Nogales, M., Vargas, P. & Traveset, A. (2013) Seed dispersal
 1210 networks in the Galápagos and the consequences of alien plant invasions. *Proceedings of*
 1211 *the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **280**, 20122112.

1212 Hendrickx, F., Backeljau, T., Dekoninck, W., Van Belleghem, S.M., Vandomme, V. &
 1213 Vangestel, C. (2015) Persistent inter- and intraspecific gene exchange within a parallel
 1214 radiation of caterpillar hunter beetles (*Calosoma* sp.) from the Galápagos. *Molecular*
 1215 *Ecology*, **24**, 3107–3121.

1216 Holt, R.D. (1996) Food webs in space: An island biogeographic perspective. *Food Webs:*
 1217 *Integration of Patterns and Dynamics* (ed. by G.A. Polis and K.O. Winemiller), pp. 313–
 1218 323. Chapman and Hall, London.

1219 Holt, R.D. (2010) Towards a trophic island biogeography: reflections on the interface of
 1220 island biogeography and food web ecology. *The theory of island biogeography revisited*
 1221 (ed. by J.B. Losos and R.E. Ricklefs), pp. 143–185. Princeton University Press,
 1222 Princeton, N.J.

1223 Hubbell, S.P. (2001) *The unified neutral theory of biodiversity and biogeography*.
 1224 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.
 1225 Hutsemékers, V., Szövényi, P., Shaw, A.J., González-Mancebo, J.-M., Muñoz, J. &
 1226 Vanderpoorten, A. (2011) Oceanic islands are not sinks of biodiversity in spore-
 1227 producing plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, **108**, 18989–
 1228 18994.
 1229 Illera, J.C., Rando, J.C., Richardson, D.S. & Emerson, B.C. (2012) Age, origins and
 1230 extinctions of the avifauna of Macaronesia: a synthesis of phylogenetic and fossil
 1231 information. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, **50**, 14–22.
 1232 Illera, J.C., Spurgin, L.G., Rodríguez-Exposito, E., Nogales, M. & Rando, J.C. (2016)
 1233 What are we learning about speciation and extinction from the Canary Islands? *Ardeola*,
 1234 **63**, 15–33.
 1235 Itescu, Y., Karraker, N.E., Raia, P., Pritchard, P.C.H. & Meiri, S. (2014) Is the island rule
 1236 general? Turtles disagree. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **23**, 689–700.
 1237 Johnson, K.P., Adler, F.R. & Cherry, J.L. (2000) Genetic and phylogenetic consequences
 1238 of island biogeography. *Evolution*, **54**, 387–396.
 1239 Jønsson, K.A. & Holt, B.G. (2015) Islands contribute disproportionately high amounts of
 1240 evolutionary diversity in passerine birds. *Nature Communications*, **6**, 8538.
 1241 Jønsson, K.A., Fabre, P.-H., Ricklefs, R.E. & Fjeldså, J. (2011) Major global radiation of
 1242 corvid birds originated in the proto-Papuan archipelago. *Proceedings of the National*
 1243 *Academy of Sciences USA*, **108**, 2328–2333.

1244 Jordal, B.H. & Hewitt, G.M. (2004) The origin and radiation of Macaronesian beetles
 1245 breeding in *Euphorbia*: The relative importance of multiple data partitions and population
 1246 sampling. *Systematic Biology*, **53**, 711–734.
 1247 Jost, L. (2007) Partitioning diversity into independent alpha and beta components.
 1248 *Ecology*, **88**, 2427–2439.
 1249 Kavanagh, P.H. & Burns, K.C. (2014) The repeated evolution of large seeds on islands.
 1250 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **281**, 20140675.
 1251 Kennicutt, M.C., Chown, S.L., Cassano, J.J., Liggett, D., Peck, L.S., Massom, R.,
 1252 Rintoul, S.R., Storey, J., Vaughan, D.G. & Wilson, T.J. (2015) A roadmap for Antarctic
 1253 and Southern Ocean science for the next two decades and beyond. *Antarctic Science*, **27**,
 1254 3–18.
 1255 Kingsford, R.T., Watson, J.E.M., Lundquist, C.J., Venter, O., Hughes, L., Johnston, E.L.,
 1256 Atherton, J., Gawel, M., Keith, D.A., Mackey, B.G., Morley, C., Possingham, H.P.,
 1257 Raynor, B., Recher, H.F. & Wilson, K.A. (2009) Major conservation policy issues for
 1258 biodiversity in Oceania. *Conservation Biology*, **23**, 834–840.
 1259 Kirkpatrick, M. & Peischl, S. (2012) Evolutionary rescue by beneficial mutations in
 1260 environments that change in space and time. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*
 1261 *Society B: Biological Sciences*, **368**, 20120082.
 1262 Kisel, Y. & Barraclough, T.G. (2010) Speciation has a spatial scale that depends on
 1263 levels of gene flow. *The American Naturalist*, **175**, 316–334.
 1264 Kisel, Y., McInnes, L., Toomey, N.H. & Orme, C.D.L. (2011) How diversification rates
 1265 and diversity limits combine to create large-scale species–area relationships.
 1266 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **366**, 2514–2525.

1267 Kueffer, C. & Kaiser-Bunbury, C.N. (2014) Reconciling conflicting perspectives for
 1268 biodiversity conservation in the Anthropocene. *Frontiers in Ecology and the*
 1269 *Environment*, **12**, 131–137.

1270 Kueffer, C., Daehler, C.C., Torres-Santana, C.W., Lavergne, C., Meyer, J.-Y., Otto, R. &
 1271 Silva, L. (2010) A global comparison of plant invasions on oceanic islands. *Perspectives*
 1272 *in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, **12**, 145–161.

1273 Lavergne, S., Evans, M.E.K., Burfield, I.J., Jiguet, F. & Thuiller, W. (2012) Are species
 1274 responses to global change predicted by past niche evolution? *Philosophical Transactions*
 1275 *of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **368**, 20120091.

1276 Lens, F., Davin, N., Smets, E. & del Arco, M. (2013) Insular woodiness on the Canary
 1277 Islands: a remarkable case of convergent evolution. *International Journal of Plant*
 1278 *Sciences*, **174**, 992–1013.

1279 Lomolino, M.V. & Brown, J.H. (2009) The reticulating phylogeny of island
 1280 biogeography theory. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, **84**, 357–390.

1281 Lomolino, M.V., van der Geer, A.A., Lyras, G.A., Palombo, M.R., Sax, D.F. & Rozzi, R.
 1282 (2013) Of mice and mammoths: generality and antiquity of the island rule. *Journal of*
 1283 *Biogeography*, **40**, 1427–1439.

1284 Losos, J.B. & Parent, C.E. (2010) The speciation-area relationship. *The theory of island*
 1285 *biogeography revisited* (ed. by J.B. Losos and R.E. Ricklefs), pp. 415–438. Princeton
 1286 University Press, Princeton, N.J.

1287 Losos, J.B. & Ricklefs, R.E. (2009) Adaptation and diversification on islands. *Nature*,
 1288 **457**, 830–836.

1289 Losos, J.B. & Ricklefs, R.E. (eds.) (2010) *The theory of island biogeography revisited*.
 1290 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.
 1291 MacArthur, R.H. & Wilson, E.O. (1963) An equilibrium theory of insular zoogeography.
 1292 *Evolution*, **17**, 373–387.
 1293 MacArthur, R.H. & Wilson, E.O. (1967) *The Theory of Island Biogeography*. Princeton
 1294 University Press, Princeton, N.J.
 1295 Matthews, T.J., Guilhaumon, F., Triantis, K.A., Borregaard, M.K. & Whittaker, R.J.
 1296 (2016) On the form of species–area relationships in habitat islands and true islands.
 1297 *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **25**, 847–858.
 1298 Mayr, E. (1954) Change of genetic environment and evolution. *Evolution as a process*
 1299 (ed. by E. Mayr, J.S. Huxley, A.C. Hardy and E.B. Ford), pp. 157–180. Allen and Unwin,
 1300 London.
 1301 McConkey, K.R. & Drake, D.R. (2006) Flying foxes cease to function as seed dispersers
 1302 long before they become rare. *Ecology*, **87**, 271–276.
 1303 McCreless, E.E., Huff, D.D., Croll, D.A., Tershy, B.R., Spatz, D.R., Holmes, N.D.,
 1304 Butchart, S.H.M. & Wilcox, C. (2016) Past and estimated future impact of invasive alien
 1305 mammals on insular threatened vertebrate populations. *Nature Communications*, **7**,
 1306 12488.
 1307 Meiri, S., Cooper, N. & Purvis, A. (2008) The island rule: made to be broken?
 1308 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **275**, 141–148.
 1309 Montoya, J.M. & Raffaelli, D. (2010) Climate change, biotic interactions and ecosystem
 1310 services. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **365**,
 1311 2013.

1312 Nogales, M., Vidal, E., Medina, F.M., Bonnaud, E., Tershy, B.R., Campbell, K.J. &
 1313 Zavaleta, E.S. (2013) Feral cats and biodiversity conservation: The urgent prioritization
 1314 of island management. *BioScience*, **63**, 804–810.
 1315 Nogué, S., de Nascimento, L., Fernández-Palacios, J.M., Whittaker, R.J. & Willis, K.J.
 1316 (2013) The ancient forests of La Gomera, Canary Islands, and their sensitivity to
 1317 environmental change. *Journal of Ecology*, **101**, 368–377.
 1318 Novosolov, M., Raia, P. & Meiri, S. (2013) The island syndrome in lizards. *Global*
 1319 *Ecology and Biogeography*, **22**, 184–191.
 1320 Olesen, J.M., Eklundsen, L.I. & Venkatasamy, S. (2002) Invasion of pollination networks
 1321 on oceanic islands: importance of invader complexes and endemic super generalists.
 1322 *Diversity and Distributions*, **8**, 181–192.
 1323 Olivera, P., Menezes, D., Trout, R., Buckle, A., Geraldine, P. & Jesus, J. (2010)
 1324 Successful eradication of the European rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) and house mouse
 1325 (*Mus musculus*) from the island of Selvagem Grande (Macaronesian archipelago), in the
 1326 Eastern Atlantic. *Integrative Zoology*, **5**, 70–83.
 1327 Papadopoulou, A. & Knowles, L.L. (2015a) Genomic tests of the species-pump
 1328 hypothesis: recent island connectivity cycles drive population divergence but not
 1329 speciation in Caribbean crickets across the Virgin Islands. *Evolution*, **69**, 1501–1517.
 1330 Papadopoulou, A. & Knowles, L.L. (2015b) Species-specific responses to island
 1331 connectivity cycles: refined models for testing phylogeographic concordance across a
 1332 Mediterranean Pleistocene Aggregate Island Complex. *Molecular Ecology*, **24**, 4252–
 1333 4268.

1334 Patiño, J. & Vanderpoorten, A. (2015) How to define nativeness in organisms with high
 1335 dispersal capacities? A comment on Essl *et al.* *Journal of Biogeography*, **42**, 1360–1362.
 1336 Patiño, J., Bisang, I., Hedenäs, L., Dirkse, G., Bjarnason, Á.H., Ah-Peng, C. &
 1337 Vanderpoorten, A. (2013) Baker's law and the island syndromes in bryophytes. *Journal*
 1338 *of Ecology*, **101**, 1245–1255.
 1339 Patiño, J., Carine, M.A., Fernández-Palacios, J.M., Otto, R., Schaefer, H. &
 1340 Vanderpoorten, A. (2014a) The anagenetic world of the spore-producing plants. *New*
 1341 *Phytologist*, **201**, 305–311.
 1342 Patiño, J., Weigelt, P., Guilhaumon, F., Kreft, H., Triantis, K.A., Naranjo-Cigala, A.,
 1343 Sólymos, P. & Vanderpoorten, A. (2014b) Differences in species–area relationships
 1344 among the major lineages of land plants: a macroecological perspective. *Global Ecology*
 1345 *and Biogeography*, **23**, 1275–1283.
 1346 Patiño, J., Carine, M.A., Mardulyn, P., Devos, N., Mateo, R.G., González-Mancebo,
 1347 J.M., Shaw, A.J. & Vanderpoorten, A. (2015) Approximate Bayesian computation
 1348 reveals the crucial role of oceanic islands for the assembly of continental biodiversity.
 1349 *Systematic Biology*, **64**, 579–589.
 1350 Paun, O., Turner, B., Trucchi, E., Munzinger, J., Chase, M.W. & Samuel, R. (2016)
 1351 Processes driving the adaptive radiation of a tropical tree (*Diospyros*, Ebenaceae) in New
 1352 Caledonia, a biodiversity hotspot. *Systematic Biology*, **65**, 212–227.
 1353 Pretty, J., Sutherland, W.J., Ashby, J., Auburn, J., Baulcombe, D., Bell, M., *et al.* (2010)
 1354 The top 100 questions of importance to the future of global agriculture. *International*
 1355 *Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, **8**, 219–236.

1356 Price, J.P. & Clague, D.A. (2002) How old is the Hawaiian biota? Geology and
 1357 phylogeny suggest recent divergence. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
 1358 *Sciences*, **269**, 2429.

1359 Price, J.P. & Wagner, W.L. (2011) A phylogenetic basis for species–area relationships
 1360 among three Pacific Island floras. *American Journal of Botany*, **98**, 449–459.

1361 Rabosky, D.L. (2006) Likelihood methods for detecting temporal shifts in diversification
 1362 rates. *Evolution*, **60**, 1152–1164.

1363 Rabosky, D.L. & Hurlbert, A.H. (2015) Species richness at continental scales is
 1364 dominated by ecological limits. *The American Naturalist*, **185**, 572–583.

1365 Rannala, B. & Yang, Z. (2003) Bayes estimation of species divergence times and
 1366 ancestral population sizes using DNA sequences from multiple loci. *Genetics*, **164**, 1645–
 1367 1656.

1368 Richardson, D.M. & Pyšek, P. (2012) Naturalization of introduced plants: ecological
 1369 drivers of biogeographical patterns. *New Phytologist*, **196**, 383–396.

1370 Ricklefs, R.E. & Bermingham, E. (2001) Nonequilibrium diversity dynamics of the
 1371 Lesser Antillean avifauna. *Science*, **294**, 1522–1524.

1372 Ricklefs, R.E. & Bermingham, E. (2002) The concept of the taxon cycle in biogeography.
 1373 *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **11**, 353–361.

1374 Ricklefs, R.E. & Bermingham, E. (2007) The causes of evolutionary radiations in
 1375 archipelagoes: passerine birds in the Lesser Antilles. *American Naturalist*, **169**, 285–297.

1376 Rijdsdijk, K.F., Hengl, T., Norder, S.J., Otto, R., Emerson, B.C., Ávila, S.P., López, H.,
 1377 van Loon, E.E., Tjørve, E. & Fernández-Palacios, J.M. (2014) Quantifying surface-area
 1378 changes of volcanic islands driven by Pleistocene sea-level cycles: biogeographical

1379 implications for the Macaronesian archipelagos. *Journal of Biogeography*, **41**, 1242–
1380 1254.

1381 Rivera-Parra, J.L., Levenstein, K.M., Bednarz, J.C., Vargas, F.H., Carrion, V. & Parker,
1382 P.G. (2012) Implications of goat eradication on the survivorship of the Galapagos hawk.
1383 *Journal of Wildlife Management*, **76**, 1197–1204.

1384 Robinson, S.A. & Copson, G.R. (2014) Eradication of cats (*Felis catus*) from
1385 subantarctic Macquarie Island. *Ecological Management & Restoration*, **15**, 34–40.

1386 Roderick, G.K., Croucher, P.J.P., Vandergast, A.G. & Gillespie, R.G. (2012) Species
1387 differentiation on a dynamic landscape: shifts in metapopulation genetic structure using
1388 the chronology of the Hawaiian archipelago. *Evolutionary Biology*, **39**, 192–206.

1389 Rominger, A.J., Goodman, K.R., Lim, J.Y., Armstrong, E.E., Becking, L.E., Bennett,
1390 G.M., Brewer, M.S., Cotoras, D.D., Ewing, C.P., Harte, J., Martinez, N.D., O'Grady,
1391 P.M., Percy, D.M., Price, D.K., Roderick, G.K., Shaw, K.L., Valdovinos, F.S., Gruner,
1392 D.S. & Gillespie, R.G. (2016) Community assembly on isolated islands: macroecology
1393 meets evolution. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **25**, 769–780.

1394 Ronquist, F. & Sanmartín, I. (2011) Phylogenetic methods in biogeography. *Annual*
1395 *Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, **42**, 441–464.

1396 Rosindell, J. & Harmon, L.J. (2013) A unified model of species immigration, extinction
1397 and abundance on islands. *Journal of Biogeography*, **40**, 1107–1118.

1398 Rosindell, J. & Phillimore, A.B. (2011) A unified model of island biogeography sheds
1399 light on the zone of radiation. *Ecology Letters*, **14**, 552–560.

1400 Sadler, J.P. (1999) Biodiversity on oceanic islands: a palaeoecological assessment.
1401 *Journal of Biogeography*, **26**, 75–87.

1402 Santos, A.M.C., Quicke, D.L.J., Borges, P.A.V. & Hortal, J. (2011) Species pool
 1403 structure determines the level of generalism of island parasitoid faunas. *Journal of*
 1404 *Biogeography*, **38**, 1657-1667.

1405 Santos, A.M.C., Cianciaruso, M.V. & De Marco, P. (2016a) Global patterns of functional
 1406 diversity and assemblage structure of island parasitoid faunas. *Global Ecology and*
 1407 *Biogeography*, **25**, 869–879.

1408 Santos, A.M.C., Field, R. & Ricklefs, R.E. (2016b) New directions in island
 1409 biogeography. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **25**, 751–768.

1410 Sax, D.F. & Gaines, S.D. (2008) Species invasions and extinction: The future of native
 1411 biodiversity on islands. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, **105**,
 1412 11490–11497.

1413 Schaefer, H., Hardy, O.J., Silva, L., Barraclough, T.G. & Savolainen, V. (2011) Testing
 1414 Darwin’s naturalization hypothesis in the Azores. *Ecology letters*, **14**, 389–396.

1415 Seddon, A.W.R., Mackay, A.W., Baker, A.G., Birks, H.J.B., Breman, E., Buck, C.E., *et*
 1416 *al.* (2014) Looking forward through the past: identification of 50 priority research
 1417 questions in palaeoecology. *Journal of Ecology*, **102**, 256–267.

1418 Shaw, K.L. & Gillespie, R.G. (2016) Comparative phylogeography of oceanic
 1419 archipelagos: hotspots for inferences of evolutionary process. *Proceedings of the*
 1420 *National Academy of Sciences USA*, **113**, 7986–7993.

1421 Siliceo, I. & Díaz, J.A. (2010) A comparative study of clutch size, range size, and the
 1422 conservation status of island vs. mainland lacertid lizards. *Biological Conservation*, **143**,
 1423 2601–2608.

1424 Silvertown, J. (2004) The ghost of competition past in the phylogeny of island endemic
 1425 plants. *Journal of Ecology*, **92**, 168–173.

1426 Silvertown, J., Francisco-Ortega, J. & Carine, M. (2005) The monophyly of island
 1427 radiations: an evaluation of niche pre-emption and some alternative explanations. *Journal*
 1428 *of Ecology*, **93**, 653–657.

1429 Skipwith, P.L., Bauer, A.M., Jackman, T.R. & Sadler, R.A. (2016) Old but not ancient:
 1430 coalescent species tree of New Caledonian geckos reveals recent post-inundation
 1431 diversification. *Journal of Biogeography*, **43**, 1266–1276.

1432 Sklenář, P., Hedberg, I. & Cleef, A.M. (2014) Island biogeography of tropical alpine
 1433 floras. *Journal of Biogeography*, **41**, 287–297.

1434 Sobral, F.L., Lees, A.C. & Cianciaruso, M.V. (2016) Introductions do not compensate for
 1435 functional and phylogenetic losses following extinctions in insular bird assemblages.
 1436 *Ecology Letters*, **19**, 1091–1100.

1437 Spurgin, L.G., Illera, J.C., Jorgensen, T.H., Dawson, D.A. & Richardson, D.S. (2014)
 1438 Genetic and phenotypic divergence in an island bird: isolation by distance, by
 1439 colonization or by adaptation? *Molecular Ecology*, **23**, 1028–1039.

1440 Steadman, D.W. (2006) *Extinction and biogeography of tropical Pacific birds*. University
 1441 of Chicago Press, Chicago, USA.

1442 Steinbauer, M.J. (2017) A generalization of the taxon cycle. *Journal of Biogeography*,
 1443 doi: 10.1111/jbi.12883.

1444 Steinbauer, M.J., Field, R., Fernández-Palacios, J.M., Irl, S.D.H., Otto, R., Schaefer, H.
 1445 & Beierkuhnlein, C. (2016a) Biogeographic ranges do not support niche theory in
 1446 radiating Canary Island plant clades. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **25**, 792–804.

1447 Steinbauer, M.J., Field, R., Grytnes, J.-A., Trigas, P., Ah-Peng, C., Attorre, *et al.* (2016b)
 1448 Topography-driven isolation, speciation and a global increase of endemism with
 1449 elevation. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **25**, 1097–1107.
 1450 Stokstad, E. (2013) Island of the snakes. *Science*, **342**, 1166.
 1451 Sutherland, W.J., Fleishman, E., Mascia, M.B., Pretty, J. & Rudd, M.A. (2011) Methods
 1452 for collaboratively identifying research priorities and emerging issues in science and
 1453 policy. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **2**, 238–247.
 1454 Sutherland, W.J., Freckleton, R.P., Godfray, H.C.J., Beissinger, S.R., Benton, T.,
 1455 Cameron, D.D., *et al.* (2013) Identification of 100 fundamental ecological questions.
 1456 *Journal of Ecology*, **101**, 58–67.
 1457 Templeton, A.R. (1980) The theory of speciation via the founder principle. *Genetics*, **94**,
 1458 1011–1038.
 1459 Tershy, B.R., Shen, K.-W., Newton, K.M., Holmes, N.D. & Croll, D.A. (2015) The
 1460 importance of islands for the protection of biological and linguistic diversity. *BioScience*,
 1461 **65**, 592–597.
 1462 Traveset, A., Heleno, R., Chamorro, S., Vargas, P., McMullen, C.K., Castro-Urgal, R.,
 1463 Nogales, M., Herrera, H.W. & Olesen, J.M. (2013) Invaders of pollination networks in
 1464 the Galápagos Islands: emergence of novel communities. *Proceedings of the Royal*
 1465 *Society B: Biological Sciences*, **280**, 20123040.
 1466 Traveset, A., Olesen, J.M., Nogales, M., Vargas, P., Jaramillo, P., Antolín, E., Trigo,
 1467 M.M. & Heleno, R. (2015) Bird–flower visitation networks in the Galápagos unveil a
 1468 widespread interaction release. *Nature Communications*, **6**, 6376.

1469 Traveset, A., Tur, C., Trøjelsgaard, K., Heleno, R., Castro-Urgal, R. & Olesen, J.M.
 1470 (2016) Global patterns of mainland and insular pollination networks. *Global Ecology and*
 1471 *Biogeography*, **25**, 880–890.
 1472 Triantis, K.A., Borges, P.A.V., Ladle, R.J., Hortal, J., Cardoso, P., Gaspar, C., Dinis, F.,
 1473 Mendonça, E., Silveira, L.M.A., Gabriel, R., Melo, C., Santos, A.M.C., Amorim, I.R.,
 1474 Ribeiro, S.P., Serrano, A.R.M., Quartau, J.A. & Whittaker, R.J. (2010) Extinction debt on
 1475 oceanic islands. *Ecography*, **33**, 285–294.
 1476 Triantis, K.A., Guilhaumon, F. & Whittaker, R.J. (2012) The island species–area
 1477 relationship: biology and statistics. *Journal of Biogeography*, **39**, 215–231.
 1478 Triantis, K.A., Economo, E.P., Guilhaumon, F. & Ricklefs, R.E. (2015) Diversity
 1479 regulation at macro-scales: species richness on oceanic archipelagos. *Global Ecology and*
 1480 *Biogeography*, **24**, 594–605.
 1481 Trøjelsgaard, K., Báez, M., Espadaler, X., Nogales, M., Oromí, P., Roche, F.L. & Olesen,
 1482 J.M. (2013) Island biogeography of mutualistic interaction networks. *Journal of*
 1483 *Biogeography*, **40**, 2020–2031.
 1484 Tylianakis, J.M. (2009) Warming up food webs. *Science*, **323**, 1300–1301.
 1485 Urban, M.C. (2015) Accelerating extinction risk from climate change. *Science*, **348**, 571–
 1486 573.
 1487 Valente, L.M., Etienne, R.S. & Phillimore, A.B. (2014) The effects of island ontogeny on
 1488 species diversity and phylogeny. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
 1489 *Sciences*, **281**, 20133227.
 1490 Valente, L.M., Phillimore, A.B. & Etienne, R.S. (2015) Equilibrium and non-equilibrium
 1491 dynamics simultaneously operate in the Galápagos islands. *Ecology Letters*, **18**, 844–852.

1492 Valido, A., Dupont, Y.L. & Olesen, J.M. (2004) Bird–flower interactions in the
 1493 Macaronesian islands. *Journal of Biogeography*, **31**, 1945–1953.
 1494 van der Geer, A.A.E., Lomolino, M.V. & Lyras, G.A. (2016) ‘Island Life’ before man:
 1495 biogeography of palaeo-insular mammals. *Journal of Biogeography*, doi:
 1496 10.1111/jbi.12857.
 1497 Vargas, P. (2007) Are Macaronesian islands refugia of relict plant lineages? A molecular
 1498 survey. *Phylogeography in Southern European refugia: Evolutionary perspectives on the*
 1499 *origins and conservation of European biodiversity* (ed. by S. Weiss and N. Ferrand), pp.
 1500 297–314. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
 1501 Vargas, P., Nogales, M., Jaramillo, P., Olesen, J.M., Traveset, A. & Heleno, R. (2014)
 1502 Plant colonization across the Galápagos Islands: success of the sea dispersal syndrome.
 1503 *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, **174**, 349–358.
 1504 Vargas, P., Rumeu, B., Heleno, R.H., Traveset, A. & Nogales, M. (2015) Historical
 1505 isolation of the Galápagos carpenter bee (*Xylocopa darwini*) despite strong flight
 1506 capability and ecological amplitude. *PLoS ONE*, **10**, e0120597.
 1507 Vié, J.-C., Hilton-Taylor, C. & Stuart, S.N. (2009) *Wildlife in a changing world: an*
 1508 *analysis of the 2008 IUCN Red List of threatened species*. IUCN, Gland.
 1509 Wallace, A.R. (1880) *Island Life: Or, the phenomena and causes of insular faunas and*
 1510 *floras, including a revision and attempted solution of the problem of geological climates*.
 1511 Macmillan, London
 1512 Warren, B.H., Simberloff, D., Ricklefs, R.E., Aguilée, R., Condamine, F.L., Gravel, D.,
 1513 Morlon, H., Mouquet, N., Rosindell, J., Casquet, J., Conti, E., Cornuault, J., Fernández-
 1514 Palacios, J.M., Hengl, T., Norder, S.J., Rijdsdijk, K.F., Sanmartín, I., Strasberg, D.,

1515 Triantis, K.A., Valente, L.M., Whittaker, R.J., Gillespie, R.G., Emerson, B.C. &
 1516 Thébaud, C. (2015) Islands as model systems in ecology and evolution: prospects fifty
 1517 years after MacArthur-Wilson. *Ecology Letters*, **18**, 200–217.
 1518 Waters, J.M., Fraser, C.I. & Hewitt, G.M. (2013) Founder takes all: density-dependent
 1519 processes structure biodiversity. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **28**, 78–85.
 1520 Weigelt, P., Daniel Kissling, W., Kisel, Y., Fritz, S.A., Karger, D.N., Kessler, M.,
 1521 Lehtonen, S., Svenning, J.-C. & Kreft, H. (2015) Global patterns and drivers of
 1522 phylogenetic structure in island floras. *Scientific Reports*, **5**, 12213.
 1523 Weigelt, P., Steinbauer, M.J., Cabral, J.S. & Kreft, H. (2016) Late Quaternary climate
 1524 change shapes island biodiversity. *Nature*, **532**, 99–102.
 1525 Wessel, A., Hoch, H., Asche, M., von Rintelen, T., Stelbrink, B., Heck, V., Stone, F.D. &
 1526 Howarth, F.G. (2013) Founder effects initiated rapid species radiation in Hawaiian cave
 1527 planthoppers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, **110**, 9391–9396.
 1528 Whittaker, R.J. & Fernández-Palacios, J.M. (2007) *Island Biogeography: Ecology,*
 1529 *Evolution and Conservation*, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
 1530 Whittaker, R.J. & Jones, S.H. (1994) Structure in re-building insular ecosystems: an
 1531 empirically derived model. *Oikos* **69**, 524–530.
 1532 Whittaker, R.J., Triantis, K.A. & Ladle, R.J. (2008) A general dynamic theory of oceanic
 1533 island biogeography. *Journal of Biogeography*, **35**, 977–994.
 1534 Whittaker, R.J., Rigal, F., Borges, P.A.V., Cardoso, P., Terzopoulou, S., Casanoves, F.,
 1535 Pla, L., Guilhaumon, F., Ladle, R.J. & Triantis, K.A. (2014) Functional biogeography of
 1536 oceanic islands and the scaling of functional diversity in the Azores. *Proceedings of the*
 1537 *National Academy of Sciences USA*, **111**, 13709–13714.

1538 Wilmshurst, J.M., Moar, N.T., Wood, J.R., Bellingham, P.J., Findlater, A.M., Robinson,
 1539 J.J. & Stone, C. (2014) Use of pollen and ancient DNA as conservation baselines for
 1540 offshore islands in New Zealand. *Conservation Biology*, **28**, 202–212.

1541 Wilson, E.O. (1961) The nature of the taxon cycle in the Melanesian ant fauna. *American*
 1542 *Naturalist*, **95**, 169–193.

1543 Wilson, E.O. (2010) Island Biogeography in the 1960s: theory and experiment. *The*
 1544 *theory of island biogeography revisited* (ed. by J.B. Losos and R.E. Ricklefs), pp. 1–12.
 1545 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.

1546 Wood, H.M., Gillespie, R.G., Griswold, C.E. & Wainwright, P.C. (2015) Why is
 1547 Madagascar special? The extraordinarily slow evolution of pelican spiders (Araneae,
 1548 Archaeidae). *Evolution*, **69**, 462–481.

1549 Yoder, A.D. & Nowak, M.D. (2006) Has vicariance or dispersal been the predominant
 1550 biogeographic force in Madagascar? Only time will tell. *Annual Review of Ecology,*
 1551 *Evolution, and Systematics*, **37**, 405–431.

1552

1553 BIOSKETCH

1554 The ‘**50 fundamental questions in island biology**’ working group is composed of a set
 1555 of island biologists, including biogeographers, ecologists, phylogeneticists,
 1556 palaeontologists, and conservation biologists, who share an interest in understanding how
 1557 evolutionary mechanisms interact with ecological processes to shape patterns in
 1558 biodiversity across spatial and temporal scales.

1559

1560 Author contributions: J.P. and B.C.E. conceived the original idea with the contribution of
1561 R.J.W., P.A.V.B., and J.M.F.P. All authors compiled the first list of questions (*List 1*).
1562 J.P., R.J.W. and B.C.E. analyzed the data and prepared a first draft of the paper, to which
1563 all authors contributed.

Figure 1 Conceptual scheme showing the procedure used to identify the 50 fundamental questions in island biology (50FQIB).

